



AGENDA
STAYTON CITY COUNCIL
Monday, February 6, 2023
 Stayton Community Center
 400 W. Virginia Street
 Stayton, Oregon 97383

HYBRID MEETING

The Stayton City Council will be holding a hybrid meeting utilizing Zoom video conferencing software. The meeting will be in-person but can also be live streamed on the City of Stayton's YouTube account. Please use the following option to view the meeting:

- 7:00 p.m. – City Council Regular Session – <https://youtu.be/na1dTN-G9IA>
- **Public Comment and Public Hearing Testimony:** Meetings allow for in-person, virtual, or written public comment. If a community member has a barrier which prevents them from participating via one of the methods below, they should contact City staff at citygovernment@staytonoregon.gov **no less than three hours prior to the meeting start time** to make arrangements to participate.

Comment and testimony are limited to three minutes. All parties interested in providing public comment or testifying as part of a public hearing shall participate using one of the following methods:

- **In-Person Comment:** Parties interested in providing in-person verbal public comment shall fill out a "Request for Recognition" form available at the meeting. Forms must be filled out and submitted to the Administrative Services Manager prior to the meeting start time.
- **Video or Audio Conference Call:** Parties interested in providing virtual public comment shall contact City staff at citygovernment@staytonoregon.gov **at least three hours prior to the meeting start time** with their request. Staff will collect their contact information and provide them with information on how to access the meeting to provide comment.
- **Written Comment:** Written comment submitted to citygovernment@staytonoregon.gov **at least three hours prior to the meeting start time** will be provided to the public body in advance of the meeting and added to the City Council's webpage where agenda packets are posted.

1. **CALL TO ORDER** **7:00 PM**
2. **FLAG SALUTE**
3. **ANNOUNCEMENTS**
 - a. Additions to the agenda
 - b. Declaration of Ex Parte Contacts, Conflict of Interest, Bias, etc.
4. **APPOINTMENTS**

Council Vacancy Appointment

 - a. Staff Report – Alissa Angelo
 - b. Candidates
 - c. Council Discussion

Resolution No. 1053

Appointing Eileen Kamilos to Library Board

ACTION

- a. Staff Report – Alissa Angelo
- b. Council Discussion
- c. Council Decision

5. PUBLIC COMMENT

6. CONSENT AGENDA

- a. January 17, 2023 City Council Work Session Minutes
- b. January 17, 2023 City Council Regular Session Minutes
- c. Resolution No. 1052, Award of Contract for 2023 Sewer Inspection and Maintenance Services Contract

7. PRESENTATIONS

- a. Community Partner – Santiam Water Control District

8. GENERAL BUSINESS

Appointment of Council Liaisons

DISCUSSION

- a. Staff Report – Julia Hajduk
- b. Public Comment
- c. Council Discussion

Hospital Parking Options

DISCUSSION

- a. Staff Report – Julia Hajduk
- b. Public Comment
- c. Council Discussion

9. COMMUNICATIONS FROM CITY STAFF

- a. City Manager Updates / Announcements

10. COMMUNICATION FROM MAYOR AND COUNCIL

11. ADJOURN

The meeting location is accessible to persons with disabilities. A request for an interpreter for the hearing impaired or other accommodations for persons with disabilities should be made at least 48 hours prior to the meeting. If you require special accommodations, contact City Hall at (503) 769-3425.

FEBRUARY 2023				
Monday	February 6	City Council	7:00 p.m.	https://youtu.be/na1dTN-G9IA
Tuesday	February 7	Parks and Recreation Board	6:00 p.m.	Stayton Community Center
Monday	February 13	Homeless Task Force	6:00 p.m.	Stayton Community Center
Wednesday	February 15	Library Board	6:00 p.m.	Stayton Public Library
Monday	February 20	CITY OFFICES CLOSED IN OBSERVANCE OF PRESIDENTS' DAY		
Tuesday	February 21	City Council	7:00 p.m.	https://youtu.be/pvcSuo4cK5M
Monday	February 27	Planning Commission	7:00 p.m.	https://youtu.be/-EnujT0tObQ
MARCH 2023				
Monday	March 6	City Council	7:00 p.m.	https://youtu.be/D9yrCTh93-A
Tuesday	March 7	Parks and Recreation Board	6:00 p.m.	Stayton Community Center
Monday	March 13	Homeless Task Force	6:00 p.m.	Stayton Community Center
Wednesday	March 15	Library Board	6:00 p.m.	Stayton Public Library
Monday	March 20	City Council	7:00 p.m.	https://youtu.be/qa_neJdF4Jo
Monday	March 27	Planning Commission	7:00 p.m.	https://youtu.be/sZ-9-FLzPWI
APRIL 2023				
Monday	April 3	City Council	7:00 p.m.	https://youtu.be/VMBWzzKsl38
Tuesday	April 4	Parks and Recreation Board	6:00 p.m.	Stayton Community Center
Monday	April 10	Homeless Task Force	6:00 p.m.	Stayton Community Center
Monday	April 17	City Council	7:00 p.m.	https://youtu.be/-IMB001v26I
Wednesday	April 19	Library Board	6:00 p.m.	Stayton Public Library
Monday	April 24	Planning Commission	7:00 p.m.	https://youtu.be/FqUbl32vadU
MAY 2023				
Monday	May 1	Budget Committee	6:00 p.m.	https://youtu.be/sf48DSPrg-s
Monday	May 1	City Council	7:00 p.m.	https://youtu.be/jleLOuWmMe0
Tuesday	May 2	Parks and Recreation Board	6:00 p.m.	Stayton Community Center
Monday	May 8	Budget Committee	6:00 p.m.	https://youtu.be/GdgrfLz-HFc
Tuesday	May 9	Budget Committee	6:00 p.m.	https://youtu.be/T5RFPCUVk2A
Monday	May 15	City Council	7:00 p.m.	https://youtu.be/tdiE_OLtRg8
Wednesday	May 17	Library Board	6:00 p.m.	Stayton Public Library
Monday	May 29	CITY OFFICES CLOSED IN OBSERVANCE OF MEMORIAL DAY		
Tuesday	May 30	Planning Commission	7:00 p.m.	https://youtu.be/PfZWz6ReyaM
Monday	June 26	Planning Commission	7:00 p.m.	https://youtu.be/R2HqBPUajKo



CITY OF STAYTON
M E M O R A N D U M

TO: Mayor Brian Quigley and the Stayton City Council
FROM: Alissa Angelo, Assistant City Manager
DATE: February 6, 2023
SUBJECT: Council Vacancy – Letters of Interest

ISSUE

Mayor Quigley has requested candidates who submitted a letter of interest for the vacant Council seat appear before City Council to share their qualifications and why they are seeking appointment.

ENCLOSURE(S)

Letters of Interest

STAFF RECOMENDATION

N/A

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The Stayton City Council has a vacancy after Mayor Brian Quigley was elected to the Mayor position during the November 2022 General Election. The vacant Council position has a term ending December 31, 2024.

On January 3, 2023, Mayor Quigley began accepting letters of interest for the vacant Council position. Letters were accepted through January 31, 2023. All letters of interest were forwarded to Mayor Quigley for review. In total, five letters were received from:

- Peter Bellas
- Ken Carey
- Camilla Cornwell
- Mark Kronquist
- Stephen Sims

These candidates were invited to this meeting to speak on why they are seeking appointment and their qualifications. Each candidate will be provided three minutes of time to share.

FISCAL IMPACT

N/A

MOTION(S)

N/A

January 6, 2023
Peter A Bellas
5 Norblad Ln. Stayton OR, 97383

Honorable Mayor Quigley,

I would like to express my interest in being considered to fill the remainder of the term for the vacant city council seat.

My name is Peter Bellas and my wife and I moved to Stayton about three years ago. In these three short years we fallen in love with this small town and it's residents and would like a chance to give back.

In respect to serving on the City Council I have no agenda or desire to make specific changes, I believe my background and experiences would be an asset as the city navigates land use, city services and budget issues. I am retired, have time available and in short would like to offer my service if you feel it would be helpful.

I spent 40+ years of my life on a small ranch in Santa Clarita California as it went through a dramatic growth cycle. The last 20 years of my career I worked at our local community college serving as the Dean of the Economic Development Division assisting schools, businesses and government agencies. During my tenure I served on a number of boards and councils:

- Board of Directors – Santa Clarita Valley Economic Development Corporation
- Board of Directors – Santa Clarita Valley Chamber of Commerce
- Board of Directors – Santa Clarita Valley Industry Association
- Advisor – City of Santa Clarita Economic Development Department
- Board of Governors – Los Angeles County Economic Development Corporation
- Executive Cabinet - College of the Canyons

In addition, I have experience working with local business to identify and develop job training programs, writing and administering grants and working with schools from K12 to Universities.

My personal style is to “get things done”, understanding stake holder and constituent needs, researching options and solutions, setting up actions and making decisions. At the college with half a dozen departments and over a hundred employees in a very time constrained environment the value of making actual decisions was critical.

My wife and I love the small-town atmosphere here, the abundant parks and trails and the friendly conversations we have with, quite literally, everyone we meet. We are here to stay and want very much to be part of this community, giving wherever we can to help it thrive.

I have included my “CV” (a resume in the college/grant world) for more background.

Sincerely,

P A Bellas

Peter Bellas

Peter Bellas

Dean, Economic Development Division
College of the Canyons
26455 Rockwell Canyon Road, Santa Clarita, CA 91355

Professional Preparation

Bachelor of Science Degree, Engineering/Computer Science
California State University, Northridge, 1975

Appointments

2002 - Director, Center for Applied Competitive Technologies
2004 - National Coalition for Advanced Technology Centers, Elected to Board of Directors
2005 - Project Director, California Alliance for Digital Manufacturing
2009 - Project Director, Fast Track Institute
2010 – Dean, Economic Development Division, College of the Canyons
2010 – Executive Cabinet, College of the Canyons
2011 – Los Angeles County Economic Development Corporation, Board of Governors
2012 – Santa Clarita Valley Economic Development Corporation, Board of Directors
2012 – Santa Clarita Valley Chamber of Commerce, Board of Directors

College Experience

As Dean of Economic Development oversees the work of the Center for Applied Competitive Technologies, Employee Training Institute, Small Business Development Center, the Fast Track Institute and the City of Santa Clarita Worksource Center. Between 2010 and 2016 the division was responsible for obtaining grants and contracts ranging from 1.5 million to 2.5 million dollars annually.

As project director of the Fast Track Institute, working with business and industry, he designed and implemented initiatives to identify and quickly deploy short term, intensive coursework placing participants in career ladder, entry level positions in a matter of months. This initiative partnered the contract education department with faculty and instructional programs, the WIB funded Worksource Center and local employers and obtained a job placement rate of over 80%.

As Director of the Center for Applied Competitive Technologies he brings expertise on cutting edge technologies to schools and businesses throughout the region.

As project director of the California Alliance for Digital Manufacturing (CADM) he created outreach programs to small businesses, regional colleges and high schools to facilitate the adoption of 21st century manufacturing technologies, including 3D printing, rapid and direct manufacturing, advanced computer aided design and reverse engineering systems.

Serving on the National Coalition for Advanced Technology Centers (NCATC) board of directors for four years he collaborated with a consortium of over 125 college and university based advanced technology centers across the United States. The collaboration greatly assists both program acquisition and dissemination. Twice yearly conferences focus on best practices in many of the program areas of engineering and manufacturing.

Prior to College of the Canyons Mr. Bellas worked 30 years in industry holding contributor and management positions in industries ranging from aerospace and defense to banking and internet.

Collaborators & Other Affiliations

In addition to the organizations that he has a leadership role in Mr. Bellas is also an active member in the following organizations:

- The California Community College Association of Occupational Educators (CCCAOE)
- The Society for Manufacturing Engineers (SME)
- Southern California Council of Governments (COG)

Kenneth M. Carey
1941 Kent Ave.
Stayton, OR. 97383
(503) 477-2836

Dear Mayor Quigley,

I am writing you for consideration to be selected to the Stayton City Council.

I have resided in the city of Stayton for almost 6 years. I own two businesses and I am very involved with the pulse of our city/community. I am also involved with the Stayton Baseball program as the JV2 Head coach. I am currently a Chamber Board Member as well as involved with the Rotary.

I feel that I would bring some insight and reasonable perspective to an already solid council. I would like an opportunity to be a voice for the residents of our community. I feel I have a very strong relationship with those in our community as well as some of our city officials.

Thank you for your consideration of my request for the City Council position

Sincerely,
Ken Carey

Ken & Amy Carey
503-769-3328

December 8th, 2022

To: Mr. Brian Quigley
Mayor-Elect of the City of Stayton

From: Camilla "Cami" Cornwell
Re: Soon-to-be Stayton City Council Vacancy

Dear Mr. Quigley,

First, please accept my congratulations on winning the mayor's race for our town! My husband and I were happy to hear you won.

Second, I am writing to express my interest in the soon-to-be vacant position on the Stayton City Council. I have lived in this community most of my life, with the exception of a few years out of the country as a military spouse. When my husband's enlistment in the USAF ended in 1994, we made Stayton our permanent home and have been here for 28 years now.

A little about me personally...

I married my high school sweetheart, Brendan, a little over 33 years ago and we have two awesome adult children, ages 30 & 25, a beautiful daughter-in-law and an awesome son-in-law. No grandbabies yet, but hopefully in the near future. My favorite thing in the world is to spend time with my family! I also enjoy riding bikes around town with my husband, going to the movies, especially at our local theater, and spending time with my church family.

A little about my work & volunteer experience...

Currently, my daughter-in-law and I own a well-established cleaning business called Wonderfully Made Homes & Cleaning Services, LLC. However, I have done a wide variety of jobs throughout the years, so my experience is vast. I've driven limousine, worked as a bookkeeper, caregiver, administrative assistant, shipping clerk, retail manager, hiring manager, just to name a few. I volunteered for 10 years on the committee for our local Relay for Life, serving as Food & Activities Chair, Sponsorship Chair and then Event Chair. I lost my mother and grandmother, both at the age of 53, to cancer so this was very important to me. I currently volunteer at my church in many different roles.

While I do not have any political experience, I believe I have much to offer our city in the way of being an upstanding citizen who loves her town and cares about its people and its future. I have great problem-solving skills and am very good at seeing things from all perspectives in order to make good decisions. I love to hear peoples' thoughts, experiences and stories. I would love to chat more with you, about this opportunity, when you are available. Thank you for your time!

Very sincerely,
Cami Cornwell
1225 Cherry Ct., Stayton, Or. 97383 Ph. 503.999.6874

Alissa Angelo

From: Mark Kronquist <mak@teleport.com>
Sent: Sunday, January 8, 2023 8:46 PM
To: City Government; Todd.Burchett@homestreet.com; bill reed; Troy Willis; todd Redford
Cc: Brian Quigley; Lance Ludwick; Dan Fleishman
Subject: City Council Opening, Board Openings and Resources for the Temporarily Other Domiciled (Homeless) Task Force

Greetings,

I would be honored to (again) serve y adopted hometown as a city councilor and/or become (yet again) a member of the parks advisory board and or planning commission...as you all know, I have a vast array of experience here and in other public and private organizations as well.

How might I serve?

Regarding the (homeless) task force, I spend a great deal of time helping PDX Multnomah Co Metro deal with the issue of Temporarily Other Domiciled individuals (their term).

There are significant resources we in Stayton can draw upon to help our own TOD Folx

Tom (or Rachel) OSU Surplus has warehouses full of (ex ROTC) Twin Wool Blankets \$2 each to public free to gov orgs along with pallets of cleaning supplies, cots and furniture. Inventory changes almost daily best to prepare a wish list for them...

Bill Reed breed@elitecare.com actually built Garden Seed Apartments at \$150 ft sq Leeds Platinum. Perhaps he and Julie Boxx should meet? 18 months shovel to occupancy State Affordable Housing definition. 34 units

Todd Burchett (Homestreet Bank) Todd.Burchett@homestreet.com

Troy Willis (Columbia Bank) troywillis@gmail.com

Have financial resources we might look into

Feed The Mass provides Meals ready to eat \$8 each must pick up in PDX Todd is the coordinator todd@feedthemass.com

Thanks

Mark Kronquist 503 750 8235



Oregon State
University

Tom Radel

Surplus Online Sales Coord
Thomas.Radel@oregonstat

Procurement, Contract Materials Management

644 SW 13th Street

Corvallis, Oregon 97333

P 541-737-2492 **F** 541-737
surplus.oregonstate.edu

From: SSIMS33@sc.rr.com
To: [City Government](#)
Cc: ["ssims33@sc.rr.com"](mailto:ssims33@sc.rr.com)
Subject: Letter of Interest for Stayton City Council position ICO Stephen Sims
Date: Tuesday, January 10, 2023 10:44:46 AM

Dear Mayor Quigley,

Greetings from Steve Sims. I would like to express my interest in serving on the Stayton City Council. My background, volunteer work, work experience, education and goals would be a positive addition to the City Council and the City of Stayton.

I was born in Stayton and moved between Salem and Stayton as a child. I spent all my summers here with my grandparents; they are the original Stayton family. I currently reside in Stayton after moving here from Italy at the end of 2016; the year I retired from the US Navy.

I believe in supporting my community with volunteerism. I currently volunteer at the Santiam Teen Center and have been a member of the Stayton Parks and Recreation Board for nearly a year now.

My work experience includes a distinguished career in the US Navy. I am a retired senior level Officer with 30 years of leadership and management experience. I have been in charge of Divisions of personnel with over a 100 personnel and a Department Head with the responsibility of operations for 7 forward deployed destroyers. I was the Executive Officer at University of South Carolina ROTC teaching the Leadership and Ethics and Leadership and Management curriculum. My final tour was a Staff Officer working on an Admiral's staff at US Navy Sixth Fleet in the Mediterranean Sea. I ran the Operations floor in a Top Secret SCIF with up to 10,000 Navy and Marine Corps personnel reporting directly to me. In 2014 I was hand picked as the Operations Officer for a task force in charge of President Obama's visit to Northern Africa. I had over 700 military personnel reporting directly to me during a six week tenure.

My education includes:

A.A. Chemeketa Community College
B.S. Chemical Engineering, Oregon State University
M.A. Public Administration, Georgia College and State University

My priorities and interests align with the goals of the Stayton City Council; a team player working with City Council members to continually improve the livability of Stayton and with the best interest of the citizens of Stayton at the forefront of the decision making process.

I believe for all the reasons above I would be an excellent fit to join the team of Councilors on the Stayton City Council.

Thank you for your consideration.

Very Respectfully,

Steve Sims

2110 E. Santiam Street

Stayton, OR 97383
Cell phone: (503) 779-9267



CITY OF STAYTON
M E M O R A N D U M

TO: Mayor Brian Quigley and the Stayton City Council

FROM: Alissa Angelo, Assistant City Manager

DATE: February 6, 2023

SUBJECT: Library Board Appointment

ISSUE

Shall the Council approve Resolution No. 1053, appointing Eileen Kamilos to a two-year term on the Library Board?

ENCLOSURE(S)

- Resolution No. 1053

STAFF RECOMENDATION

N/A

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The Library Board currently has one vacancy. Board member terms are two years in length, and members are allowed to serve two consecutive terms.

On January 27th, the City received an application from Eileen Kamilos requesting appointment to the Library Board. At the time staff received the application, it was forwarded to Mayor Quigley for review. On January 31, 2023, Mayor Quigley asked staff to include Ms. Kamilos' application for appointment on the next Council meeting agenda.

FISCAL IMPACT

N/A

MOTION(S)

Approve Resolution No. 1053, appointing Eileen Kamilos to a two-year term on the Library Board.



CITY OF STAYTON

APPLICATION FOR COMMISSION/COMMITTEE

NAME OF COMMISSION/COMMITTEE:

Stayton Public Library Board

PLEASE CHECK ONE:

- New Applicant
- Application for reappointment

Years resided in Stayton: 3 1/2

PLEASE PRINT

Name Eileen Kamilos

Address 492 N Larch Ave, Stayton Home Ph# Ø

Email Address eklangford1@gmail.com Cell Ph# 909-499-2159

Occupation Retired RA

Place of Employment _____

Business Address _____

Phone _____ Email _____

1. Please give a brief description of the experience or training that qualifies you for membership on this commission/committee. (If you wish, you may attach a resume or other pertinent material.)

I have no specific training, only an interest in the library. I'm an avid reader and spend time in the library frequently. I am very appreciative of our wonderful library and all it offers and would like to give back with service.

2. Why do you want to become a member of the above-mentioned commission/committee and what specific contribution would you hope to make?

I think I probably answered this question above but with regard to a specific contribution I can offer my interest and loyalty to the library and staff for all that is available. I hope my decisions in a part of would support the library and staff in any way needed or best served.

- 3. Please list the community concerns related to this commission/committee that you would like to see addressed if you are appointed.

I would know how to answer this question better after having served and understanding more about what the board actually facilitates for the library and staff.

- 4. Briefly describe your present or past involvement in relevant community groups. (Having no previous involvement will not disqualify you for appointment.)

I have no previous experience

- 5. Are you currently serving on any Advisory Boards, Commissions or Committees? If so, which ones?

No

- 6. How did you learn about this vacancy? *The library director - Jenna*

Our Website Word of mouth Other

- 7. Are you employed by, have any business, contractual arrangements or family connections with programs having contractual agreements with the City that might be within the purview of the committee on which you are seeking appointment?

No

Signature of Applicant *W. K. [Signature]* Date *1-20-23*

PLEASE RETURN TO: City of Stayton
362 N. Third Avenue
Stayton, OR 97383

It is the policy of the City to comply with all federal and state statutes on equal employment opportunity. This policy shall be applied without regard to any individual employee or job applicant's sex, race, color, religion, national origin, ancestry, age, marital status, political affiliation, genetic information, veteran status or any other legally protected status per state and federal law.

PLEASE COMPLETE BOTH SIDES OF THIS APPLICATION



RESOLUTION NO. 1053

A RESOLUTION APPOINTING EILEEN KAMILOS TO THE LIBRARY BOARD

WHEREAS, the Library Board currently has one vacancy;

WHEREAS, Board member terms are two years in length, and members are allowed to serve two consecutive terms;

WHEREAS, on January 27th, the City received an application from Eileen Kamilos requesting appointment to the Library Board; and

WHEREAS, Mayor Quigley has directed staff to include Ms. Kamilos’ application for appointment to be considered and ratified by the City Council; and

WHEREAS, Council has reviewed the applicant and concurs with the recommended appointment.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

1. The Council accepts Mayor Quigley’s appointment of Eileen Kamilos to a two-year term on the Library Board.

This Resolution shall become effective upon its adoption by the Stayton City Council.

ADOPTED BY THE STAYTON CITY COUNCIL THIS 6TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2023.

CITY OF STAYTON

Signed: _____, 2023

By: _____

Mayor Brian Quigley, Mayor

Signed: _____, 2023

ATTEST: _____

Julia Hajduk, City Manager

**City of Stayton
City Council Work Session Minutes
January 17, 2023**

LOCATION: STAYTON COMMUNITY CENTER, 400 W. VIRGINIA, STAYTON
Time Start: 6:00 P.M. Time End: 7:01 P.M.

COUNCIL MEETING ATTENDANCE LOG

COUNCIL	STAYTON STAFF
Mayor Brian Quigley	Julia Hajduk, City Manager
Councilor David Giglio	Alissa Angelo, Assistant City Manager
Councilor Ben McDonald	Dan Fleishman, Director of Planning & Development (via Zoom)
Councilor Jordan Ohrt (joined at 6:07 p.m.)	Lance Ludwick, Public Works Director
Councilor David Patty	Gwen Johns, Police Chief (joined at 6:26 p.m.)
	Janna Moser, Library Director
	Tammy Bennett, Office Specialist

AGENDA	ACTIONS
Utility Rate Analysis	<p>Mr. Ludwick introduced the FCS Group Project Team consisting of Matt Hobson, John Ghilarducci and Zech Hazel.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mr. Hobson gave an overview of the Revenue Requirement Results.

APPROVED BY THE STAYTON CITY COUNCIL THIS 6TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2023, BY A ____ VOTE OF THE STAYTON CITY COUNCIL.

Date: _____

By: _____
Brian Quigley, Mayor

Date: _____

Attest: _____
Julia Hajduk, City Manager

Date: _____

Transcribed by: _____
Tammy Bennett, Office Specialist

**City of Stayton
City Council Minutes
January 17, 2023**

LOCATION: STAYTON COMMUNITY CENTER, 400 W. VIRGINIA, STAYTON
Time Start: 7:05 P.M. **Time End:** 8:16 P.M.

COUNCIL MEETING ATTENDANCE LOG

COUNCIL	STAYTON STAFF
Mayor Brian Quigley	Julia Hajduk, City Manager
Councilor David Giglio	Alissa Angelo, Assistant City Manager
Councilor Ben McDonald	Lance Ludwick, Public Works Director
Councilor Jordan Ohrt	Dan Fleishman, Director of Planning & Development (via Zoom)
Councilor David Patty	Gwen Johns, Police Chief
	Janna Moser, Library Director
	Tammy Bennett, Office Specialist

AGENDA	ACTIONS
REGULAR MEETING	
Announcements	
a. Additions to the agenda	Mayor Quigley made a change to the agenda, adding nomination of two Councilors to Resolution No. 1050. Councilor Giglio asked to add discussion of an Executive Session concerning the City's Legal Counsel and a committee to review the City Charter.
b. Declaration of Ex Parte Contacts, Conflict of Interest, Bias, etc.	None.
Appointments	
a. Appointment of Parks and Recreation Board <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Daniel Brummer • Tricia Hafner • Andrea Kline 	Motion from Councilor Ohrt, seconded by Councilor Patty, to ratify the appointments to the Parks and Recreation Board and the Planning Commission. Motion passed 4:0.
b. Appointment of Planning Commission <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ralph Lewis • Amy McKenzie Watts • Larry McKinley 	<i>Discussion:</i> Councilor Patty questioned the Horvath property that one applicant had noted in their application as a possible future park location.
Public Comment	
a. Dan Brummer	Mr. Brummer addressed the Wilderness Park closure; the need for the City website to be updated; and for signage at the entrance to Wilderness Park.
b. Pam Pugsley	Ms. Pugsley addressed the Wilderness Park closure and the need for signage.

<p>Consent Agenda</p> <p>a. January 3, 2023 City Council Regular Session Minutes</p>	<p>Motion from Councilor Patty, seconded by Councilor McDonald, to approve the consent agenda as presented.</p> <p>Motion passed 4:0.</p>
<p>Presentations</p> <p>a. Community Partner – North Santiam School District</p>	<p>Superintendent Lee Loving gave an overview of the North Santiam School District.</p>
<p>General Business</p> <p>Resolution No. 1050</p> <p>Appointing Alternates to Homeless Task Force</p> <p>a. Staff Report – Julia Hajduk</p> <p>b. Public Comment</p> <p>c. Council Discussion</p> <p>d. Council Decision</p> <p>Resolution No. 1051</p> <p>West Ida Street Sewer Improvement Project – Phase 1</p> <p>a. Staff Report – Lance Ludwick</p> <p>b. Public Comment</p> <p>c. Council Discussion</p> <p>d. Council Decision</p> <p>Renewing Teen Center Lease</p> <p>a. Staff Report – Julia Hajduk</p> <p>b. Public Comment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Katrina Casas • Denise Busch <p>c. Council Discussion</p>	<p>Ms. Hajduk reviewed the staff report.</p> <p>None.</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Motion from Councilor Patty, seconded by Councilor Giglio to adopt Resolution No. 1050, appointing Rebecca Kelly and Madylyn Tower as alternates to the Homeless Task Force.</p> <p>Motion passed 4:0.</p> <p>Councilor Patty nominated Councilor Giglio and Councilor Ohrt nominated Councilor McDonald to serve as Councilors on the Homeless Task Force. Councilor Giglio and Councilor McDonald accepted the nomination.</p> <p>Mr. Ludwick reviewed the staff report.</p> <p>None.</p> <p>Council discussion about cost, funding, and the effect on future development on West Ida Street.</p> <p>Motion from Councilor Patty, seconded by Councilor McDonald to adopt Resolution No. 1051, awarding a contract for the construction of the W. Ida Street Sanitary Sewer Replacement Project – Phase 1 to Black Pearl Paving and Excavation. Motion passed 4:0.</p> <p>Ms. Hajduk reviewed the staff report.</p> <p>Ms. Casas read a letter of support from Stayton Intermediate/Middle School Assistant Principal.</p> <p>Ms. Busch spoke in support of the Stayton Teen Center.</p> <p>Questions and discussion from the Councilors included support for renewing the Teen Center lease and adjusting some of the language in the new lease contract.</p>

<p>Communications from City Staff a. City Manager Updates / Announcements</p>	<p>Ms. Hajduk shared the Council’s goal setting date of March 11, 2023. Time and location to be announced.</p> <p>Cherriots Bus Service is looking for citizens to serve on their Citizen Advisory Committee.</p>
<p>Communications from Mayor and Council</p>	<p>Mayor Quigley shared his interest in the Councilors serving as board and committee liaisons.</p> <p>Councilor Ohrt questioned where the Horvath property was located in Stayton and thanked board and commission volunteers for serving an additional term.</p> <p>Councilor Giglio proposed re-evaluating the City’s contract with the City Attorney and to form a committee to review portions of the City Charter, specifically Chapter 3 and Chapter 8.</p>

APPROVED BY THE STAYTON CITY COUNCIL THIS 6TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2023, BY A ____ VOTE OF THE STAYTON CITY COUNCIL.

Date: _____

By: _____
Brian Quigley, Mayor

Date: _____

Attest: _____
Julia Hajduk, City Manager

Date: _____

Transcribed by: _____
Tammy Bennett, Office Specialist



CITY OF STAYTON
M E M O R A N D U M

TO: Mayor Brian Quigley and the Stayton City Council

FROM: Lance S. Ludwick, P.E. Public Works Director

DATE: February 6th, 2023

SUBJECT: Resolution No. 1052, Award of Contract for 2023 Sewer Inspection and Maintenance Services Contract

ISSUE

The issue before the Council is should the City award C More Pipe Services Co. a contract to perform the 2023 Sewer Inspection and Maintenance Services for the City in the amount of, up to \$404,900.00.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

As part of a watershed approach to water quality problems, the State of Oregon has developed a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) and Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) for each water body that does not meet specific water quality standards. The Willamette River is among these water quality impaired bodies of water for parameters of temperature, mercury, and bacteria. The North Santiam River and Mill Creek are tributaries of the Willamette River. Because of Stayton's proximity to both bodies of water, we were required to develop our own WQMP to meet the TMDL standards for the Willamette River Basin.

Staff presented the 2022 TMDL report and Resolution 1044 to the Council for review and approval at the September 13th, 2022, City Council meeting. It was submitted to DEQ shortly thereafter and was formally approved on January 18, 2023.

One of the requirements of Stayton's TMDL is that City performs annual cleaning and television inspection of 6.0 miles of the City's sanitary sewer collection systems and 4.50 miles of the City's stormwater collection system.

This contract for Sanitary Sewer and Storm Sewer Maintenance includes, but is not limited to, all labor, materials, and equipment necessary to perform annual cleaning and television inspection (of up to 7.5 miles of the City's sanitary sewer collection systems and 4.5 miles of the City's stormwater collection system. Work will include sewer line grouting, cured in place pipe (CIPP), manhole repair and sealing as directed and all labor, materials, and equipment necessary to perform annual cleaning.

The project was advertised competitively in the Daily Journal of Commerce on December 5th, 2022, and sealed bids were opened on January 3rd, 2023, at 2:00 p.m.

BIDS RECEIVED

The City received bids from the following firms:

CONTRACTOR	BID AMOUNT
C More Pipe Services Co.	\$404,900.00
Michels Corp.	\$578,860.00
Olson Brothers Pro-Vac	\$737,907.00
ORR Inc.	\$768,645.00

Staff met with the low bidder to discuss processes they use, software used, and equipment and resources that will be allocated to the Stayton contract. After the interview staff felt comfortable with the contractor and recommends awarding the 2023 Sewer Inspection and Maintenance contract to C More Pipe Services Co.

It should be noted that C More Pipe Services Co. held the City's sewer maintenance contract several years ago, and they performed good work and had reasonable response times.

STAFF REVIEW OF BIDS AND RECCOMENDATION

City Staff reviewed all bids and recommends the City award a contract to C More Pipe Services Co.

OPTIONS

- 1) Award of Sewer Inspection and Maintenance Services Contract to C More Pipe Services Co.
- 2) Reject all bids.

MOTION

Consent agenda approval; no motion necessary.



RESOLUTION NO. 1052

A RESOLUTION TO AWARD A CONTRACT FOR THE 2023 SEWER INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE SERVICES FOR THE CITY OF STAYTON

WHEREAS, the 2022 Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) featured a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) working matrix;

WHEREAS, Stayton’s TMDL identifies strategies to reduce Temperature, Mercury, and Sediment from water bodies around Stayton;

WHEREAS, two strategies include cleaning and video inspections of 15% of the sanitary sewer collection system and 15% of the stormwater collection system;

WHEREAS, the City hires outside contractors to perform the cleaning, video inspection and pipe repairs to the sewer collections systems;

WHEREAS, the City advertised the Sewer Inspection and Maintenance Contract in the Daily Journal of Commerce on December 5th, 2022, with a bid opening on January 3rd, 2023;

WHEREAS, C More Pipe Services Co. was the lowest apparent bidder; and

WHEREAS, staff recommends the City Council award the 2023 Sewer Inspection and Maintenance Services contract to C More Pipe Services Co.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

1. The Stayton City Council awards the contract for the 2023 Sewer Inspection and Maintenance Services to C More Pipe Services Co. in the amount of, up to \$404,900.00.

This Resolution shall become effective upon its adoption by the Stayton City Council.

ADOPTED BY THE STAYTON CITY COUNCIL THIS 6th DAY OF FEBRUARY 2023.

CITY OF STAYTON

Signed: _____, 2023

By: _____
Brian Quigley, Mayor

Signed: _____, 2023

ATTEST: _____
Julia Hajduk, City Manager



CITY OF STAYTON
M E M O R A N D U M

TO: Mayor Brian Quigley and the Stayton City Council
FROM: Julia Hajduk, City Manager
DATE: February 6, 2023
SUBJECT: Appointment of Council Liaisons

In 2019 the City Council, as part of their Council goalsetting and in Resolution 1027, identified topics rather than specific Council assignments for liaisons. At the time and since then, the Councilors were assigned topic areas with the expectation that Councilors would seek out and attend meetings as appropriate under each topic. The topic areas presented, and the Councilors appointed to each in 2019 are as follows:

Education, Social Services, Governmental Agencies – Council Ohrt

- North Santiam School District
- Regis and St. Mary's Schools
- Marion Co Community Network for Veterans
- Family Building Blocks
- Service Integration Team
- Homelessness
- Marion County
- Regional Cities
- State of Oregon
- 501(C)3 Charitable Organizations

Economic Development and Housing – Councilor Hook

- SEDCOR
- Planning Commission
- Housing & Neighborhood Vitality Commission
- MWVCOG
- Regional Solutions
- Revitalize Downtown Stayton (RDS)
- Chamber of Commerce
- Urban Renewal

Public Safety and Environmental – Councilor Patty

- Santiam Communication Council
- METCOM 911
- Stayton Public Safety Commission
- Marion County Public Safety Coordinating Council
- Santiam Ambulance Service

- *Santiam Hospital*
- *Emergency Management*
- *Natural Resources (Air, Land, Water)*

Community Relations and Outreach – Councilor Molin

- *Diversity*
- *Library Board*
- *Library Foundation*
- *Stayton Friends of the Library*
- *Friends of the Pool*
- *Churches*
- *YMCA*
- *Athletic Organizations*
- *Community Events*
- *City Communication*
- *Quality of Life – Parks and Trails*

Transportation and Infrastructure (or Public Utilities) – Councilor Quigley

- *Santiam Water Control District (Adaptive Management)*
- *Marion Co Public Works*
- *Parks & Recreation Board*
- *Regional Transportation Advisory Committee*
- *Public Utilities*
- *Sublimity Sewer Committee*

Fire District – Mayor Porter*

**The Rules of the Stayton City Council (Resolution No. 1027) state the “Mayor can serve as a Liaison for any committee or group they deem appropriate.”*

It goes without saying that assignments will need to be adjusted regardless of whether more formal liaison assignments are made, however, Mayor Quigley has indicated a desire to have discussions about liaison assignments specific to boards and commissions. Attachment 1 is a list of board and commission meetings, as well as a list of known interagency meetings along with the anticipated dates, times, and frequency.

MOTION(S)

N/A discussion only. After discussion and direction, staff will prepare a resolution to formalize the Council liaison assignments.

Board, Commission or Committee	Purpose/need	Meeting date	Meeting time	Location	Staff liaison	Council Liaison
Planning Commission	Review land use proposals and make recommendations for Council on potential legislative changes	Last Monday of each month	7:00PM	Community Center	Fleishman	
Parks and Rec Board	The Parks and Recreation Board is responsible for developing and maintaining parks and recreation programs, as well as serving as an advisory board to the City Council.	First Tuesday	6:00 PM	Library meeting room	Ludwick	
Library Board	The Library Board is responsible for overseeing the operations of the Stayton Public Library.	3 rd Wed	6:00 PM	Library meeting room	Moser	
Budget Committee	Review and approve budget	May	6:00 PM	Community Center	Hajduk	
Sewer Committee	Stayton-Sublimity sewer agreement	At least once annually	TBD		Hajduk/Ludwick	Mayor per the agreement
Regional Transportation Authority Committee	<i>I can't find details of this committee</i>	Quarterly				Mayor?
Public Arts Commission	The purpose of the Commission is to promote educational, cultural, economic, and general welfare of Stayton by actively pursuing the placement of public art in public spaces within Stayton city limits while also serving to preserve and develop public access to the arts. The Commission is responsible for assisting the Council and other City boards and commissions in using public art to enhance existing development in public parks, and other public lands, and in public structures	3 rd Tuesday	6:00 PM		Fleishman	
Adaptive Management Group	Per Stayton and Santiam Water Control District MOU	Quarterly	TBD		Ludwick	
Public Safety Commission	The group is not currently active. Staff will be reviewing and making recommendations for potential modifications to this group in the coming months				Johns	

Board, Commission or Committee	Purpose/need	Meeting date	Meeting time	Location	Staff liaison	Council Liaison
Housing and Neighborhood Vitality Advisory Commission	<p>The role of the Commission is to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In cooperation with other City boards and commissions, formulate and recommend policy to the Planning Commission and City Council on housing affordability and neighborhood vitality issues. • Recommend policies to the Planning Commission and City Council to provide for and conserve very low-, low-, and moderate-income housing in the City. • Review and make recommendations regarding City applications for Federal, State, or other funding sources related to the purpose of the Commission. • Monitor and evaluate planning, programming, and implementation of housing and neighborhood revitalization activities. 	Does not currently meet and no appointed members			Fleishman	
Homeless Task Force	<p>Purpose of the Task Force is to evaluate existing conditions; Identify and evaluate options for addressing homelessness in the community which includes, at minimum, the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Review of existing rules and regulations to ensure it is in line with HB 3115/ORS 195.530 b. Determination of whether to develop locational and exclusion standards for certain times or certain places within the community for people to sleep, sit or lay down c. Recommendations and considerations of community specific resources and actions to support people who are homeless to obtain shelter and housing 	2 nd Monday	6:00 p.m.	Community Center	Hajduk	Councilor McDonald and Councilor Giglio

Other potential liaison assignments:

- Mid-Willamette Valley COG
- Marion County
- Mid-Willamette Area Commission on Transportation (MWACT) – Meets monthly as needed on the 1st Thursday of each month. Advises Oregon Transportation Commission on State Transportation Improvement Plan (STIP); Prioritize STIP projects; Conduct highway safety study; and hear presentations on issues and developments on all modes of transportation
- School Board
- Chamber Board
- Service Integration Team
- Santiam Hospital Board
- Revitalize Downtown Stayton
- Santiam Communication Council
- Marion County Public Safety Coordinating Council
- Library foundation
- Stayton Friends of the Library
- Friends of the pool



CITY OF STAYTON
M E M O R A N D U M

TO: Mayor Brian Quigley and Stayton City Council
FROM: Julia Hajduk, City Manager
DATE: February 6, 2023
SUBJECT: Hospital Parking Options

The City Council had a work session on December 5, 2022 to discuss issues and options related to parking around the Santiam Hospital. Following the work session, staff prepared information for public outreach and sought input on a set of options that were being considered. Notice was sent out on 1/3/23 and we requested comments by 1/18/23. Attachment 1 is a summary of the public comments received. Subsequent to the public comment period, City staff met with the Hospital to discuss initial recommendations and whether our recommendation had changed. Below is a summary of City staff and Hospital recommendations:

1. Install “No Parking” signs on the north side of Pine Street between N. Tenth and Mt. Jefferson Drive; do nothing on the south side but reexamine in 6 months to consider whether installing “residential only” or “No hospital parking” signage is warranted.
2. Install “No Parking” signs on the inside curves of Fir Street with the understanding that the City will look closely at the design option/question posed by the Hospital to increase the availability of on-street parking.
3. Install additional signage on N. Tenth and look into speed activated sign(s). City will also look at modifying painting at the crosswalk to increase visibility.
4. City will fix the curb painting on Mountain Drive.
5. Install “Residential Parking Only” signs at the entry to the Mountain Estates subdivision. It is also noted that parking within 25 feet of the intersection is prohibited by law (as it is everywhere), therefore we will look into enhanced education and consider whether additional signage is necessary. It is generally not recommended to paint curbs or install signage because this is state law that applies everywhere.
6. While not warranted by Public Works Design Standards or American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), the Hospital has taken it upon themselves to lower the retaining wall at Pine and N. Tenth to help improve sight distance.

The estimated cost to implement these recommendations is \$5,475-\$9,475, depending on the extent of signage and improvements along N. Tenth. The Hospital has offered to contribute half of these costs. Staff believes this would be fair because some of the recommendations are due to existing design conditions that, while exacerbated by the Hospital, are not caused by the Hospital.

MOTION(S)

No motion necessary; staff is looking for direction from Council if they are in agreement with the above-mentioned plans or would like to make modifications to the recommendations.



CITY OF STAYTON

Summary of Public comments received

The City sent 62 mailed and 35 emailed notice to residents in the vicinity of the hospital and those who were in attendance at the Neighborhood Parking Collaborative hosted by Santiam Hospital on October 4, 2022. The notice outlined the issues and ideas raised at the meeting and staff's recommendations and asked for specific public input on those recommendations. OF the 97 total notices sent, there were approximately 9 that were to staff, councilmembers or the hospital and there were likely duplicates between the mailed and emailed notices depending on where people who were in attendance at the parking collaborative live. The City received responses/comments from 10 people, 9 via email and one via phone. The comments are summarized below and attached in their entirety to this summary report.

Parking on Pine, east of North Tenth

BACKGROUND PROVIDED: Neighbors raised concerns about the vehicles parked, primarily on the north side of the street. Their concerns were that the cars did not always pull off the road fully and impacted visibility and the travel lane. City staff looked not just at the right of way width but also the pavement width and determined that while the ROW width was sufficient to allow parking on both sides, the actual paved width was not. Ultimately, when the road is fully constructed with the ultimate width, it may be safe and appropriate to allow parking on both sides, but in the meantime, it is recommended that the north side of Pine be signed for no-parking.



Some people suggested that signage be installed that specifies “residential parking only” or “permit parking only” on the south side of the street. The hospital recommends that, at minimum “Residential Parking Only” signs be installed in front of the first few homes on the south side of the street. Staff does not recommend that at this time because we are concerned that there will be an expectation that the City will enforce the parking and there is not a mechanism to know residential versus non-residential vehicles. We recommend revisiting the parking issues in 6 months to a year and determining how things are working. If additional efforts are needed, then we can have the conversation about the best way to accomplish this at that time.

PUBLIC INPUT REQUESTED: We would like to hear your thoughts and concerns about installing “no parking” signage on the north side of Pine Street between Tenth and Mt. Jefferson Drive and not installing any signage on the south side with the understanding that we will revisit in 6 months to a year to determine if additional signage or restrictions are needed at that time.

INPUT RECEIVED: Of the comments received, 7 people provided comments on this proposal. All but one was supportive of installing “no parking” signs on the north side of the street but 3 expressed concern that installing it on the north side of the street without installing residential only or no hospital parking on the south side of the street would be problematic. One person expressed concern with limiting parking on the north side of the street because it would impact visitor parking for the residents in their home.

Traffic speeds on North Tenth

BACKGROUND PROVIDED: Concerns were raised about the traffic speeds on Tenth. The road is currently signed for 30 MPH. The speed limit was raised from 25 mph to 30 mph following a speed study conducted by ODOT after the Tenth Avenue improvement project was completed. On October 16-21, the Police Department conducted speed and traffic volume study via Stayton PD's Speed Tracker unit.

This data revealed that the 85th percentile of drivers travel at 29 mph going north and 31 mph going south. If we were to explore changing the speed limit, the 85th percentile would be the data used to justify a lower (or higher) speed. Because the 85th percentile of speed was within the existing speed limit, there does not appear to be a justification for lowering the speed limit at this time.

That said, because of the hill and sight distances and the proximity to the hospital and crosswalk, staff recommends looking into whether additional signage, including a speed activated "slow down" sign might be appropriate along Tenth Avenue.

PUBLIC INPUT REQUESTED: We would like input on the staff recommendation to look into providing more signage, including the speed feedback signage. In addition, while not indicated as needed based on the traffic analysis completed, we are interested in comments related to potentially reducing the speed limit to 25 mph.

INPUT RECEIVED: We received comments from 6 people responding to this question. The majority were supportive of reducing speeds along 10th. While there was support for added signage, there were many comments continuing to assert sight distance and/or blind spot issues at the intersection of 10th and Pine.

Parking on Fir, west of North Tenth

BACKGROUND PROVIDED: While the right of way and paved width along this section of the street meets dimensional requirements to allow for parking on both sides, we conducted additional analysis to see if the curvature of the road and sight distance resulting from the curvature would impact the parking. The analysis indicated that the 150-foot stopping sight distance on the road, particularly the inside curves, was impacted with parking on both sides of the road. Staff was asked if the "no parking" areas could be located on the north side of the road, rather than a combination of both sides. Because the issue is the curvature of the road, the stopping sight distance is at issue on the inside curves, therefore, limiting parking on the north side would not address the issue.

Staff recommends installing "no parking" signs on the inside curves as shown in the diagram below. The yellow highlighted section is the approximate location of where the no parking areas would be installed.

Staff recognizes that this eliminates a significant amount of on-street parking and is open to more closely examining the sight distances and other options such as reducing the speed limit to 20 mph (if possible, thus shorting the stopping sight distance requirement) to enable some on-street parking while maintaining safe and effective sight lines. The hospital has provided some thoughts and additional ideas to address safety while maximizing on-street parking. Staff will continue evaluating these ideas as well.

PUBLIC INPUT REQUESTED: We would like input on the staff recommendation as presented. We would also like input on the option of looking at increasing on-street parking (beyond what is shown) by lowering the speed and shortening the stopping sight distance and/or looking at the curves and on-street parking locations more closely.



INPUT RECEIVED: We received comments from 7 people on this issue. All agreed that parking needed to be restricted to some degree on Fir, however there was not consensus about the solution. The majority agreed with staff's recommendation outright with 1 agreeing but having concerns about it if signage was not installed on Mountain Drive and 1 questioning why the no parking could not be on one side rather than switching between both sides on the inside curve. One person was not supportive a removing any parking except within 25 feet of the driveways.

Parking on Mountain near intersection of Fir

While we heard from Mountain Dr residents indicating concern with vehicles parked on the street impacting their ability to exit their driveway and saw photo documentation to that effect, we have driven by the area on numerous occasions at various times of day since the meeting and have not seen vehicles parked there. Staff has not identified a justification for additional measures in this location. That said, we do acknowledge that the City's efforts to remove the "no parking" stencil that was placed on the curb is not very attractive and we are proposing that this be corrected. In addition, there is the possibility that the increased efforts to regulate parking on Pine and Fir may result in vehicles parking on Mountain that had not historically done so. While staff does not specifically recommend installation of signage in this area, there is not opposition to the installation of signage that states "No Hospital Parking" as a way to deter hospital staff, patrons or vendors from parking there. The hospital recommends "Residential Parking Only" as they feel the other has a negative connotation.

PUBLIC INPUT REQUESTED: We would like input on staff's recommendation. We also would like to know the level of interest or support for signage that discourages hospital parking.

INPUT RECEIVED: We received 6 comments on this concept. Two people simply indicated that they would leave it to the Mountain Drive residents. All residents who commented who live in the Mountain Drive area were supportive of having signage to discourage hospital parking in the area. They expressed that this concern was heightened if the implementation of the parking suggestions on Fir were implemented.

Sight distance from Pine and Fir onto North Tenth

BACKGROUND PROVIDED: There were complaints raised about sight distance from Fir and Pine when entering Tenth and the need for vehicles to pull out into the travel lanes in order to safely see. Staff looked at this issue and found that it appears meet the Stayton Public Works Design Standards and the American Association of State Highway and Transportation standards. It is important to keep in mind that the stop bar is not an indicator of where the car must remain before pulling out into traffic. The stop bar must be placed east of the ADA sidewalk ramp, which it is. The driver is to stop, look for pedestrian traffic crossing the road, then pull out to look south down the road. That will place the driver's eye approximately 15 feet east of the fog line, out of the bike lane and vehicle travel lane. The Engineering department surveyed and analyzed this issue in detail in response to the comments made to ensure that the sight distance was in fact met.

PUBLIC INPUT REQUESTED: Do you have any additional thoughts or comments on the sight distance at the intersections of Pine and Tenth and Fir and Tenth?

INPUT RECEIVED: Four people provided additional comments related to the sight distance at Pine and North 10th. It should be noted that comments related to speeding on 10th were related to this issue as well. One person agreed that sight distance was not a problem. Two of the commenters essentially said that the issue is "real" regardless of AASHTO and that the City should take additional action. The third person indicated that the issue with sight distance as related to landscaping and retaining wall.

Commenter	Address	Comment
1 Steve Poisson	1750 E Pine St	<p>My comments are as follows: (numbers correspond with proposal numbers)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Installing “no parking” signage on the north side of Pine Street between Tenth and Mt. Jefferson Drive and not installing any signage on the south side with the understanding that we will revisit in 6 months to a year to determine if additional signage or restrictions are needed at that time is the perfect solution I live on Pine so travel that portion frequently but do not live in the section indicated. 2. I do not believe the speed on Tenth needs to be reduced. Speed feedback signage may prove helpful, as it has on Jefferson. 3. While I rarely travel Fir, I think your proposal is warranted. I think additional parking for the hospital is theirs to provide. 4. This should be up to the residents on Mountain. 5. I do not see the sight distance as a problem.
2 Jim Huddleston	1350 East Pine	<p>- in our opinion the proposal to install "No Parking" signs on the north side of Pine Street will have some benefits but could potentially make things overall worse. The real problem is parking on both sides of Pine near the intersection with North Tenth. That has been clearly expressed by many. If you install no parking signs on the North side only you will solve the congestion problem near the intersection. However without signing the south side for residential only you will essentially be inviting hospital staff and patrons to park in front of our homes on the south side of Pine. And they will without question. Additionally we have on occasion found the need to park a vehicle temporarily across from our home on the north side of Pine. When we do so we are off the pavement but in the right of way. Your proposal would unnecessarily eliminate that option for us and other residents of Pine. If you proceed with no parking signs on the North side we believe it is imperative that you also sign the south side for residential only, regardless of whether you believe you can enforce it or not. At least it will be clear that hospital staff and patrons are not supposed to park in front of our homes.</p> <p>- The sight distance issue when turning onto north tenth from Pine is real, regardless of whether or not it meets your local and AASHTO standards. Those standards are essentially guidelines and no one would argue that exceeding the minimum sight distance is not an improvement. Having said that I understand improving sight distance at that location is limited by the existing site conditions. However reducing speeds would help. It would also help to not have cars parked on either side of pine at the intersection.</p>
3 Don Harteloo	Not provided	<p>The City of Stayton's Letter inviting us to comment on parking and traffic near Santiam Hospital moved me to respond not only because we own property on Pine Street but also because I was involved in a serious accident at the intersection of 10th and Pine. On May 20, 2021, I was driving my red Chevrolet Colorado pickup south on 10th street and was in the turning lane near the hospital and attempting to turn left on Pine street. A speeding car proceeding north on 10th street came up the hill toward the hospital and T boned my pickup and totaled both vehicles at this intersection. Not only was the other car's speed a factor in this accident but I became aware of a blind spot on 10th street as vehicles come up the hill proceeding north from Santiam street. While in the turning lane on 10th, there is a spot where vehicles coming up the hill are not visible! Parked cars on the north side of Pine street near this intersection with 10th also make for confusion of drivers making this turn. Slower speed limits on 10th would also be helpful.</p>

4	Suzanne and Kevin Rotter	1480 East Pine street	<p>*Regarding parking on Pine, east of north tenth: We are in 100% agreement, “No parking” signs should be installed on the north side of the street. As the owner of a larger vehicle (full size pickup), it has been our experience, the street is too narrow to accommodate vehicles traveling in both directions at the same time when cars are parked on the north side. The street becomes a “funnel” when traveling west and approaching tenth. I personally have nearly been struck head-on by a vehicle coming around the corner from tenth while approaching the stop sign. Not only is it too narrow, but visibility is also impaired by the vehicles parked on the north side.</p> <p>As far as the south side is concerned, installing signage for “residential” or “permit” parking only can be problematic for residents and guests of residents. That said, I live far enough east that this doesn’t affect me as it might those who live closer to tenth. I would default to the thoughts and concerns of those whom it does affect.</p> <p>*Traffic speeds on north tenth: As a regular user of tenth for my auto travels as well as walks with my dog, it is my observation, traffic moves too fast. Even though there is a signal at the pedestrian crossing, I’ve had to wait for auto traffic to go through after having activated the crosswalk light. I’ve also had to hasten my step as I could tell an approaching vehicle was not going to heed the signal. The hill creates a bit of a blind spot. In my opinion, the few blocks adjacent to the hospital should have a speed limit of 20 mph, just like a school zone. There is a lot of foot traffic along this busy street and it is unsafe. A speed activated “Slow down” sign should be considered as well. Even when folks can see the posted speed limit it is not always observed. Pine street is commonly used as a “short cut” or “cut through” from Mahama highway and folks commonly move through at speeds of 35 – 50 even though the posted speed limit is 25. It’s a huge safety concern of residents on Pine. But that’s not being addressed in this forum.</p> <p>*Parking on Mountain near the intersection of Fir: As the notice states, as additional parking restrictions are put into place, it is more likely that staff members will park along Mountain Drive, creating a difficult and hazardous situation for residents. Same holds true for Pine street east of tenth. The hospital has provided adequate parking for staff in the large lot on tenth. And although it may be a bit further to walk, hospital staff should be respectful of the residents of this area and utilize the parking at their disposal.</p> <p>*Parking on Fir, West of north tenth: I would completely agree, “no parking” signs need to be installed particularly at the curvatures. This is also a heavily used street. It’s a main connection from this residential area to the business located along First street. The parking situation does create a hazard for safely moving through as traffic is not always on their proper side of the road.</p> <p>*Sight distance from Pine and Fir onto north tenth: Vehicle parking is not the issue as far as sight distance, it’s more about the privacy and or retaining walls in place.</p> <p>In conclusion, we are largely in favor of the changes as outlined. In addition to the designated “no parking” areas, lowering the speed limit to 20 on all of these affected streets would increase the personal safety of pedestrians as well as auto traffic. As a resident of this neighborhood, I would also implore hospital and medical center staff to be conscientious and considerate of the residents. Use the parking that has been provided by the medical center. It is absolutely unnecessary for so much of our streets to be clogged with vehicles belonging to staff members. If there are staff members with mobility issues, perhaps there could be special designated parking for such persons. Otherwise, staff should be directed by management to utilize the safe, flat, well lit parking available to them.</p>
5	Pete Vanhandel	Live in Mountain estates	<p>Phone message. Summary: No parking on Fir, it really is scary and supports eliminating the inside parking. Support fixing the curb painting on Mountain. Also support residential parking only signage on Mountain Estates.</p>

6	David Payne	Not provided	<p>1) Parking on Pine, East of North Tenth: I am fully in favor of 'no parking' signage on the north side of pine. There are regularly 20+ cars parked on the north side of pine, impacting visibility and obstructing the lane, not allowing traffic in opposing directions to pass. I am also in favor of 'no hospital parking' or 'Residential Parking Only' signage on the south side. Without signage on the south side I would expect that hospital employees will move to parking on the opposite side of the road, creating more congestion for the residents within that area. I would not expect that the city would enforce 'no hospital parking' on the south side but would hope that the signage would act as a detourant.</p> <p>2) Traffic Speeds on North 10th: I regularly run and walk on North 10th, I believe that cars are regularly exceeding 30mph when cresting the hill. I am in favor of reducing the speed to 25mph and/or including speed feedback signage.</p> <p>3) Parking on Fir, west of North Tenth: I am in favor of the staff recommendation of installing 'no parking' signs on the inside curves. I am opposed to increasing on-street parking on Fir; this road is used as a thoroughfare from 1st to 10th; I have concern that motorists would not adhere to reduced speed limits.</p> <p>4) Parking on Mountain: I am in favor of 'Residential Parking Only' signage on Mountain.</p>
7	Ryan Wheildon	1216 E. Pine St.	<p>I live at 1216 E. Pine St. and after reviewing the Staff Recommendations from the Neighborhood Parking Collaborative meeting, I have some concerns as a homeowner on Pine St. The main recommendation I saw from the recommendations for the area of East Pine Street was to install "NO PARKING" signs on the north side of Pine Street from North Tenth to Mt. Jefferson Drive. I believe it would be best to have "NO HOSPITAL PARKING" signs installed on the north side of Pine Street from North Tenth to Mt. Jefferson Drive, as well as on the south side of Pine Street.</p> <p>As a homeowner, with multiple drivers in our house (because of teenage children), installing "NO PARKING" signs on the north side of Pine street would make it very difficult to be hospitable to our friends and the friends of our children. When we entertain others, or teenagers gather at our house for a safe place to be in the community, having "NO PARKING" signs would limit the parking space we have available. As guests are invited to our home I want to make sure that there are plenty of parking spaces available.</p> <p>Please reconsider the recommendations and install a "NO HOSPITAL PARKING" sign on the north side of Pine street.</p>
8	Garry and Pam Smith	1630 Mountain Drive	<p>Keep existing parking on both sides on Fir street except extend no parking 25 feet before and after each of the driveways along Fir as vehicles coming out of hospital parking have to extend into Fir to check for traffic before they can pull out. Currently is looks like about 10 feet of yellow curb exists.</p> <p>I don't see that current Parking allowed on Fir is a problem along both sides, There is plenty of room and sight distances. Vehicles easily pass each other even with parked cars on both sides. Removing parking for about 25 cars is going to create a bigger problem than it solves. What is the reported accident rate in this area currently?</p> <p>Mountain Drive. We enjoy and will actively support the current situation. Install no parking marking 150 feet prior to intersection of Mountain and Fir. Residents only parking signs throughout development.</p> <p>I prefer not to comment on parking in other neighborhoods that I am not familiar with.</p>
9	Loren Willeford	Not provided	<p>I don't have a dog in the fight re: Pine Street and possible solutions. I've thought a lot about parking on Fir and was impressed with the concept until the solutions offered for Mountain Estates were read. My neighbors and I have struggled with uncontrolled parking in our development for almost 3 years with no help from the city. I could have buried your office with pictures of up to 20 cars a day for months if I thought it would have helped. We only got relief after the phantom sign painter put "No Parking" on the curb. Stayton police or public works in their infinite wisdom decided that was too efficient and painted them over.</p>

If changes are made to Fir the 30 plus cars will all park in Mountain Estates from the eliminated spaces. The proposed signage may help but odds are it won't. What then?

Why does everyone have to over think the problem? Paint the curb signs back!

If the parking issues aren't resolved I don't stand a chance of selling my home for it's real value and the city has in essence instituted a "taking of my property" all for the lack of signage or caring. I can be reached at 206 459 4047 if you have further questions.

10 Juli Bochsler

Not provided

No parking on pine east of 10th north side ... Awesome! People were parked in the travel lane all the time. Worry for the folks on the south side, hopefully you will reconsider that in 6 months not a year.

Speed on tenth, I would like to see a hospital zone 20mph all the way on tenth. However, a speed info sign that blinks the traveler's speed, instead of SLOW DOWN, would be fantastic. The one on Santiam says SLOW DOWN if travel speed is over 30. I wish it would blink the speed that is being traveled.

No parking on the inside curves on pine west of tenth.... It seems like one side or the other should have no parking. If you do inside corners, drivers will have to shift their car one way and then the other. Sometimes looking at how we really drive vs the rules of sight distance on inside corners, would give a more appropriate fix.

I don't have any comment on Mountain Dr. I do not drive that road ever.

Sight distance pulling out to tenth from pine east of tenth. This is such a busy intersection. When pulling up to tenth you have to stop at the crosswalk while watching for the corner cutters turning from the turn lane onto Pine. Then you pull out to see around the wall to the south. Now you have to watch for ambulances, traffic turning out of the hospital parking lot to the south and north, people using the crosswalk, autos in the drive lanes, north and the south and once again the corner cutters who have sped up the hill to turn on Pine. Another reason why I wish you could make this a hospital zone and really slow traffic down.

Tammy Bennett

From: jillaine poverud <jillaine_h@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, February 6, 2023 1:30 PM
To: City Government
Subject: Letter for Tonight's Meeting

February 6, 2023

Stayton City Council Members
362 N. Third Avenue
Stayton, OR 97383

Dear Mayor Quigley and Council Members,

We ask you to urgently write a letter to the relevant Oregon House and Senate committees (details below) and request a committee hearing for HB 2667 and SB 399 in the next month of the 2023 legislative season.

Our community has a unique window to call on the Oregon legislature to protect us from the chicken factories moving in and ask them to pass a moratorium now.

- **House Bill 2667** creates a moratorium on all new mega size Tier-2 CAFOs until the legislature decides on a map forward for industrial farming in our state.

Please let the committee know how detrimental such large-scale, industrial farming would be to the health of the young and elderly of Stayton, road traffic, and property values.

Below are the house members who are on the **House Agriculture, Land use, Natural Resources and Water Committee**. Please ask them to support HB 2667:

- Rep. Ken Helm: Rep.KenHelm@oregonlegislature.gov
- Rep. Annessa Hartman: Rep.AnnessaHartman@oregonlegislature.gov
- Rep Mark Owens: Rep.MarkOwens@oregonlegislature.gov
- Repr Mark Gamba: Rep.MarkGamba@oregonlegislature.gov
- Rep Bobby Levy: Rep.BobbyLevy@oregonlegislature.gov
- Rep Pam Marsh: Rep.PamMarsh@oregonlegislature.gov
- Rep Susan McLain: Rep.SusanMcLain@oregonlegislature.gov
- Rep Anna Scharf: Rep.AnnaScharf@oregonlegislature.gov

Please copy Ed Diehl, our local House Representative, on these communications: Rep.EdDiehl@oregonlegislature.gov.

Sincerely,

Jillaine Poverud
39108 Lazy D Rd. Scio, OR, 503-881-3252

From: Wendy Mack <bl41340@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, February 6, 2023 1:42 PM
To: City Government
Subject: HB2667 SB

February 6, 2023

Stayton City Council Members
362 N. Third Avenue
Stayton, OR 97383

Dear Mayor Quigley and Council Members,

We ask you to urgently write a letter to the relevant Oregon House and Senate committees (details below) and request a committee hearing for HB 2667 and SB 399 in the next month of the 2023 legislative season.

Our community has a unique window to call on the Oregon legislature to protect us from the chicken factories moving in and ask them to pass a moratorium now.

- **House Bill 2667** creates a moratorium on all new mega size Tier-2 CAFOs until the legislature decides on a map forward for industrial farming in our state.

Please let the committee know how detrimental such large-scale, industrial farming would be to the health of the young and elderly of Stayton, road traffic, and property values.

Below are the house members who are on the **House Agriculture, Land use, Natural Resources and Water Committee**. Please ask them to support HB 2667:

- Rep. Ken Helm: Rep.KenHelm@oregonlegislature.gov
- Rep. Annessa Hartman: Rep.AnnessaHartman@oregonlegislature.gov
- Rep Mark Owens: Rep.MarkOwens@oregonlegislature.gov
- Repr Mark Gamba: Rep.MarkGamba@oregonlegislature.gov
- Rep Bobby Levy: Rep.BobbyLevy@oregonlegislature.gov
- Rep Pam Marsh: Rep.PamMarsh@oregonlegislature.gov
- Rep Susan McLain: Rep.SusanMcLain@oregonlegislature.gov
- Rep Anna Scharf: Rep.AnnaScharf@oregonlegislature.gov

Please copy Ed Diehl, our local House Representative, on these communications: Rep.EdDiehl@oregonlegislature.gov.

Attached is the letter Aumsville City Council issued Governor Brown in June 2022 you can use as a model to press our concerns.

Yours faithfully,

Wendy Williams
43510 Camp Morrison Dr.
Scio Oregon 97374
503. 559.3239

- Letter from Aumsville City Council to Governor Brown, June 2022
- House Bill 2667 draft

-

[HB 2667.pdf](#)

[27.5kB](#)

-

-

-

[june.13.2022_Aumsville City Council to Governor Brown.pdf](#)

Tammy Bennett

From: Susan Saphone <susansaphone2@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, February 6, 2023 1:47 PM
To: City Government
Subject: HB 2667/SB 399

To the City Council of Stayton:

Please support HB 2667 and SB 399 by writing to the Oregon legislature.

There must be a moratorium on all new CAFO's until the legislature decides on a map forward for industrial-scale farming in Oregon.

Industrial-scale projects are damaging to the environment and to communities. Let's use the best science to move forward.

Thank you,
Susan Haywood

Tammy Bennett

From: beth@esbartlett.com
Sent: Monday, February 6, 2023 1:25 PM
To: City Government
Subject: Support for HB2667
Attachments: HB 2667.pdf; june.13.2022_Aumsville City Council to Governor Brown.pdf

February 6, 2023

Stayton City Council Members
362 N. Third Avenue
Stayton, OR 97383

Dear Mayor Quigley and Council Members,

We ask you to urgently write a letter to the relevant Oregon House and Senate committees (details below) and request a committee hearing for HB 2667 and SB 399 in the next month of the 2023 legislative season.

Our community has a unique window to call on the Oregon legislature to protect us from the chicken factories moving in and ask them to pass a moratorium now.

- **House Bill 2667** creates a moratorium on all new mega size Tier-2 CAFOs until the legislature decides on a map forward for industrial farming in our state.

Please let the committee know how detrimental such large-scale, industrial farming would be to the health of the young and elderly of Stayton, road traffic, air and water quality and property values.

Below are the house members who are on the **House Agriculture, Land use, Natural Resources and Water Committee**. Please ask them to support HB 2667:

- Rep. Ken Helm: Rep.KenHelm@oregonlegislature.gov
- Rep. Annessa Hartman: Rep.AnnessaHartman@oregonlegislature.gov
- Rep Mark Owens: Rep.MarkOwens@oregonlegislature.gov
- Repr Mark Gamba: Rep.MarkGamba@oregonlegislature.gov
- Rep Bobby Levy: Rep.BobbyLevy@oregonlegislature.gov
- Rep Pam Marsh: Rep.PamMarsh@oregonlegislature.gov
- Rep Susan McLain: Rep.SusanMcLain@oregonlegislature.gov
- Rep Anna Scharf: Rep.AnnaScharf@oregonlegislature.gov

Please copy Ed Diehl, our local House Representative, on these communications: Rep.EdDiehl@oregonlegislature.gov.

Attached is the letter Aumsville City Council issued Governor Brown in June 2022 you can use as a model to press our concerns.

Yours faithfully,

Paul and Beth Bartlett
44425 Thomas Creek Drive
Scio, OR 97374
650-400-0073

- Letter from Aumsville City Council to Governor Brown, June 2022
- House Bill 2667 draft

Tammy Bennett

From: R Roaninn <roaninn@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, February 6, 2023 2:08 PM
To: City Government
Subject: Fwd: Help Needed at Stayton City Council Meeting TONIGHT!
Attachments: HB 2667.pdf; june.13.2022_Aumsville City Council to Governor Brown.pdf

February 6, 2023

Stayton City Council Members
362 N. Third Avenue
Stayton, OR 97383

Dear Mayor Quigley and Council Members,

We ask you to urgently write a letter to the relevant Oregon House and Senate committees (details below) and request a committee hearing for HB 2667 and SB 399 in the next month of the 2023 legislative season.

Our community has a unique window to call on the Oregon legislature to protect us from the chicken factories moving in and ask them to pass a moratorium now.

- **House Bill 2667** creates a moratorium on all new mega size Tier-2 CAFOs until the legislature decides on a map forward for industrial farming in our state.

Please let the committee know how detrimental such large-scale, industrial farming would be to the health of the young and elderly of Stayton, road traffic, and property values.

Below are the house members who are on the **House Agriculture, Land use, Natural Resources and Water Committee**. Please ask them to support HB 2667:

- Rep. Ken Helm: Rep.KenHelm@oregonlegislature.gov
- Rep. Annessa Hartman: Rep.AnnessaHartman@oregonlegislature.gov
- Rep Mark Owens: Rep.MarkOwens@oregonlegislature.gov
- Repr Mark Gamba: Rep.MarkGamba@oregonlegislature.gov
- Rep Bobby Levy: Rep.BobbyLevy@oregonlegislature.gov
- Rep Pam Marsh: Rep.PamMarsh@oregonlegislature.gov
- Rep Susan McLain: Rep.SusanMcLain@oregonlegislature.gov
- Rep Anna Scharf: Rep.AnnaScharf@oregonlegislature.gov

Please copy Ed Diehl, our local House Representative, on these communications:
Rep.EdDiehl@oregonlegislature.gov.

Attached is the letter Aumsville City Council issued Governor Brown in June 2022 you can use as a model to press our concerns.

Yours faithfully,

R. Roaninn

7230 3rd St. SE

Turner, OR 97392

- Letter from Aumsville City Council to Governor Brown, June 2022
- House Bill 2667 draft

--

*Dance, Drum, Sing, Walk, Live, Love
and Breathe Cruelty-Free in Mindfulness*

- Auteur Anonyme -

Tammy Bennett

From: Daniel Stuckart <dan@proline88.com>
Sent: Monday, February 6, 2023 2:58 PM
To: City Government
Subject: Industrial chicken operations

The proposed facilities, which will each house between 3.4 and 6.5 million birds per year, will have a profound negative economic, social, and environmental impact on the region. There are multiple direct impacts on Mid-Valley COG members, Oregon Cascades West COG members, and other regional partners including:

- The City of Aumsville
 - The City of Salem
 - The City of Scio
 - The City of Stayton
 - The City of Sublimity
 - Marion Soil and Water Conservation District ● Aumsville Rural Fire District ● Marion and Linn Counties ● The Confederated Tribes of the Grand Ronde
- These are my main concerns:
1. These factory operations appear to be taking advantage of Oregon's overly permissive stock watering exemptions that were not intended for this scale of commercial operation.
 2. The scale of these factory operations will damage regional recreation, tourism and promotion in Aumsville, Stayton, and Scio (i.e., Aumsville Corn Festival, City of 6 Bridges or Summer on the Santiam).
 3. These industrial animal operations will constantly degrade air quality in and around Aumsville, Stayton, and Scio in a manner inconsistent with traditional farming, including large scale ag.
 4. A pipe that provides water for the City of Salem runs under the Aumsville site.
 5. Oregon's exemption for ammonia discharge means these sites – all of which sit in high water table areas – will have negative impacts on the Santiam River and its tributaries. All three sites are adjacent to or nearby irrigation systems, rivers or creeks that feed into the Willamette.
 6. One of the sites would affect Wiseman Island, a unique lamprey and cutthroat spawning ground on the Santiam, mostly owned by ODFW and Marion County.

Daniel Stuckart
208-697-7862

Robert & Kay Pendleton
418 E. Jefferson Street
Stayton, OR 97383

February 6, 2023

Stayton City Council Members
362 N. Third Avenue
Stayton, OR 97383

Dear Mayor Quigley and Council Members,

We ask you to urgently write a letter to the relevant Oregon House and Senate committees (details below) and request a committee hearing for HB 2667 and SB 399 in the next month of the 2023 legislative season.

Our community has a unique window to call on the Oregon legislature to protect us from the chicken factories moving in.

- **House Bill 2667** creates a moratorium on all new mega-sized Tier-2 CAFOs until the legislature decides on a map forward for industrial farming in our state.
- **Senate Bill 399** closes the livestock watering loophole that allows for the chicken operations to withdraw our local groundwater without a limit.

These bills need to go to committee now in order to proceed to vote. Please let the committees know how detrimental such large-scale, industrial farming would be to the health of the young and elderly of Stayton, road traffic, and property values.

Below are the house members who are on the **House Agriculture, Land use, Natural Resources and Water Committee**. Please ask them to support HB 2667:

- Rep. Ken Helm: Rep.KenHelm@oregonlegislature.gov
- Rep. Annessa Hartman: Rep.AnnessaHartman@oregonlegislature.gov
- Rep Mark Owens: Rep.MarkOwens@oregonlegislature.gov
- Repr Mark Gamba: Rep.MarkGamba@oregonlegislature.gov
- Rep Bobby Levy: Rep.BobbyLevy@oregonlegislature.gov
- Rep Pam Marsh: Rep.PamMarsh@oregonlegislature.gov
- Rep Susan McLain: Rep.SusanMcLain@oregonlegislature.gov
- Rep Anna Scharf: Rep.AnnaScharf@oregonlegislature.gov

Please also send a note to these senators who are on the **Senate Natural Resource Committee** and ask them to support SB 399:

- Senator Jeff Golden: Sen.JeffGolden@oregonlegislature.gov

- Senator Fred Girod: Sen.Fred.Girod@oregonlegislature.gov
- Senator Floyd Prozanski: Sen.FloydProzanski@oregonlegislature.gov
- Senator Kathleen Taylor: Sen.KathleenTaylor@oregonlegislature.gov

Please copy Ed Diehl, our local House Representative, on these communications:
Rep.EdDiehl@oregonlegislature.gov.

Attached is the letter Aumsville City Council issued Governor Brown in June 2022 you can use as a model to press our concerns.

Your faithfully,

Robert & Kay Pendleton

Attachments:

- Letter from Aumsville City Council to Governor Brown, June 2022
- House Bill 2667 draft
- Senate Bill 399 draft

House Bill 2667

Sponsored by Representative HUDSON, Senators DEMBROW, GOLDEN; Representatives GAMBA, NOSSE, PHAM K, Senators CAMPOS, GELSER BLOUIN (Pre-session filed.)

SUMMARY

The following summary is not prepared by the sponsors of the measure and is not a part of the body thereof subject to consideration by the Legislative Assembly. It is an editor's brief statement of the essential features of the measure **as introduced**.

Prohibits Department of Environmental Quality and State Department of Agriculture from issuing or renewing license or permit to allow construction or operation of new industrial confined animal feeding operation, addition to or expansion of existing industrial confined animal feeding operation or addition to or expansion of livestock farm that would cause livestock farm to become industrial confined animal feeding operation.

Declares emergency, effective on passage.

A BILL FOR AN ACT

1
2 Relating to confined animal feeding operations; and declaring an emergency.

3 **Be It Enacted by the People of the State of Oregon:**

4 **SECTION 1. (1) The Legislative Assembly finds that it is in the best interest of**
5 **Oregonians to prohibit the proliferation of industrial confined animal feeding operations until**
6 **the impacts of industrial confined animal feeding operations, including impacts to air quality,**
7 **climate, water quality, water supplies, small- and medium-sized livestock farms, community**
8 **and worker health and animal welfare, have been adequately studied and addressed through**
9 **laws and rules.**

10 **(2) The Department of Environmental Quality and the State Department of Agriculture**
11 **may not issue or renew a license or permit to allow the construction or operation of:**

12 **(a) A new industrial confined animal feeding operation;**

13 **(b) An addition to, or expansion of, an existing industrial confined animal feeding opera-**
14 **tion; or**

15 **(c) An addition to, or expansion of, an existing livestock farm if the addition or expansion**
16 **would cause the livestock farm to become an industrial confined animal feeding operation.**

17 **(3) As used in this section, "industrial confined animal feeding operation" means a con-**
18 **finned animal feeding operation, as defined in rule by the Department of Environmental**
19 **Quality or the State Department of Agriculture pursuant to ORS 468B.050, that has at least:**

20 **(a) 2,500 mature dairy cows;**

21 **(b) 3,500 veal calves;**

22 **(c) 3,500 cattle;**

23 **(d) 8,000 swine that exceed 55 pounds in weight;**

24 **(e) 30,000 swine that are 55 pounds or less in weight;**

25 **(f) 1,500 horses;**

26 **(g) 40,000 sheep or lambs, or a combination thereof;**

27 **(h) 200,000 turkeys;**

28 **(i) 125,000 chickens of any type, if using a wet waste treatment works;**

NOTE: Matter in **boldfaced** type in an amended section is new; matter *[italic and bracketed]* is existing law to be omitted. New sections are in **boldfaced** type.

- 1 **(j) 300,000 laying hens, if using a dry waste treatment works;**
- 2 **(k) 350,000 broiler chickens, if using a dry waste treatment works;**
- 3 **(L) 125,000 ducks, if using a dry waste treatment works; or**
- 4 **(m) 20,000 ducks, if using a wet waste treatment works.**

5 **SECTION 2.** **This 2023 Act being necessary for the immediate preservation of the public**
6 **peace, health and safety, an emergency is declared to exist, and this 2023 Act takes effect**
7 **on its passage.**

8

Senate Bill 399

Sponsored by Senator DEMBROW (Pre-session filed.)

SUMMARY

The following summary is not prepared by the sponsors of the measure and is not a part of the body thereof subject to consideration by the Legislative Assembly. It is an editor's brief statement of the essential features of the measure as introduced.

Imposes limit on exempt use of water for livestock.
Requires person that uses water under livestock exemption to submit notice of use to Water Resources Department, measure use and report measurement.
Takes effect on 91st day following adjournment sine die.

A BILL FOR AN ACT

1
2 Relating to exempt water uses; creating new provisions; amending ORS 537.141 and 537.545; and
3 prescribing an effective date.

4 **Be It Enacted by the People of the State of Oregon:**

5 **SECTION 1.** ORS 537.141 is amended to read:

6 537.141. (1) The following water uses do not require an application under ORS 537.130 or
7 537.615, a water right permit under ORS 537.211 or a water right certificate under ORS 537.250:

8 (a) Emergency fire-fighting uses;

9 (b) Nonemergency fire-fighting training, provided:

10 (A) The source of the water is existing storage and the use occurs with permission of the owner
11 of the stored water; or

12 (B) If the source of water is other than existing storage, the use occurs with the prior written
13 approval of the watermaster in the district where the training will take place and subject to any
14 conditions the watermaster determines are necessary to prevent injury to existing water rights and
15 to protect in-stream resources;

16 (c) Water uses that divert water to water tanks or troughs from a reservoir for a use allowed
17 under an existing water right permit or certificate for the reservoir;

18 (d) Fish screens, fishways and fish by-pass structures, as exempted by rule of the Water Re-
19 sources Commission;

20 (e) Land management practices intended to save soil and improve water quality by temporarily
21 impeding or changing the natural flow of diffuse surface water across agricultural lands when stor-
22 age of public waters is not an intended purpose. Such practices include but are not limited to:

23 (A) Terraces;

24 (B) Dikes;

25 (C) Retention dams and other temporary impoundments; and

26 (D) Agronomic practices designed to improve water quality and control surface runoff to prevent
27 erosion, such as ripping, pitting, rough tillage and cross slope farming;

28 (f) Livestock watering operations that comply with the requirements under subsections (2) and
29 (3) of this section;

30 (g) Forest management activities that require the use of water in conjunction with mixing pes-

NOTE: Matter in boldfaced type in an amended section is new; matter [*italic and bracketed*] is existing law to be omitted. New sections are in boldfaced type.

1 ticides as defined in ORS 634.006, or in slash burning;

2 (h) The collection of precipitation water from an artificial impervious surface and the use of
3 such water;

4 (i) Land application of ground water so long as the ground water:

5 (A) Has first been appropriated and used under a permit or certificate issued under ORS 537.625
6 or 537.630 for a water right issued for industrial purposes or a water right authorizing use of water
7 for confined animal feeding purposes;

8 (B) Is reused for irrigation purposes and the period of irrigation is a period during which the
9 reused water has never been discharged to the waters of the state; and

10 (C) Is applied pursuant to a permit issued by the Department of Environmental Quality or the
11 State Department of Agriculture under either ORS 468B.050 to construct and operate a disposal
12 system or ORS 468B.215 to operate a confined animal feeding operation; and

13 (j) Surface mining practices that result in the removal of water from a surface mine subject to
14 an operating permit or reclamation plan approved by the State Department of Geology and Mineral
15 Industries, unless the water is used for a subsequent beneficial use.

16 (2) The use of surface water for livestock watering may be exempted under subsection (1) of this
17 section if:

18 (a) The water is diverted from a stream or other surface water source to a trough or tank
19 through an enclosed water delivery system;

20 (b) The delivery system either is equipped with an automatic shutoff or flow control mechanism
21 or includes a means for returning water to the surface water source through an enclosed delivery
22 system; *and*

23 (c) The operation is located on land from which the livestock would otherwise have legal access
24 to both the use and source of the surface water source; *and*

25 **(d) The amount of use does not exceed 5,000 gallons per day.**

26 (3) If the diversion system described in subsection (2) of this section is located within or above
27 a scenic waterway, the amount of water that may be used without a water right is limited to one-
28 tenth of one cubic foot per second per 1,000 head of livestock. Nothing in this section shall prevent
29 the Water Resources Commission from approving an application for a water right permit for a de-
30 livery system not qualifying under subsection (2) of this section.

31 (4) The Water Resources Department, in conjunction with local soil and water conservation
32 districts, the Oregon State University Extension Service, the State Department of Agriculture and
33 the State Department of Fish and Wildlife and any other organization interested in participating,
34 shall develop and implement a voluntary educational program on livestock management techniques
35 designed to keep livestock away from streams and riparian areas.

36 (5) To qualify for an exempt use under subsection (1)(g) of this section, the user shall:

37 (a) Submit notice of the proposed use, including the identification of the proposed water source,
38 to the Water Resources Department and to the State Department of Fish and Wildlife at the time
39 notice is provided to other affected agencies pursuant to ORS 527.670; and

40 (b) Comply with any restrictions imposed by the department pertaining to sources of water that
41 may not be used in conjunction with the proposed activity.

42 (6) Except for the use of water under subsection (1)(i) of this section, the Water Resources
43 Commission by rule may require any person or public agency diverting water as described in sub-
44 section (1) of this section to furnish information with regard to such water and the use thereof. For
45 a use of water described in subsection (1)(i) of this section, the Department of Environmental Qual-

1 ity or the State Department of Agriculture shall provide to the Water Resources Department a copy
 2 of the permit issued under ORS 468B.050 or 468B.215 authorizing the land application of ground
 3 water for reuse. The permit shall provide the information regarding the place of use of such water
 4 and the nature of the beneficial reuse.

5 **SECTION 2.** ORS 537.545 is amended to read:

6 537.545. (1) [No] A registration, certificate of registration, application for a permit, permit, cer-
 7 tificate of completion or ground water right certificate under ORS 537.505 to 537.795 and 537.992 is
 8 **not** required for the use of ground water for:

9 (a) Stockwatering purposes, **unless the use exceeds 5,000 gallons per day;**

10 (b) Watering any lawn or noncommercial garden not exceeding one-half acre in area;

11 (c) Watering the lawns, grounds and fields not exceeding 10 acres in area of schools located
 12 within a critical ground water area established pursuant to ORS 537.730 to 537.740;

13 (d) Single or group domestic purposes in an amount not exceeding 15,000 gallons a day;

14 (e) Down-hole heat exchange purposes;

15 (f) Any single industrial or commercial purpose in an amount not exceeding 5,000 gallons [a]
 16 **per day; or**

17 (g) Land application, so long as the ground water:

18 (A) Has first been appropriated and used under a permit or certificate issued under ORS 537.625
 19 or 537.630 for a water right issued for industrial purposes or a water right authorizing use of water
 20 for confined animal feeding purposes;

21 (B) Is reused for irrigation purposes and the period of irrigation is a period during which the
 22 reused water has never been discharged to the waters of the state; and

23 (C) Is applied pursuant to a permit issued by the Department of Environmental Quality or the
 24 State Department of Agriculture under either ORS 468B.050 to construct and operate a disposal
 25 system or ORS 468B.215 to operate a confined animal feeding operation.

26 (2) A ground water use for a purpose that is exempt under subsection (1) of this section, to the
 27 extent that the use is beneficial, constitutes a right to appropriate ground water equal to that es-
 28 tablished by a ground water right certificate issued under ORS 537.700.

29 (3) Except for the use of water under subsection (1)(g) of this section, the Water Resources
 30 Commission by rule may require any person or public agency using ground water for any such
 31 purpose to furnish information with regard to such ground water and the use thereof. For a use of
 32 water described in subsection (1)(g) of this section, the Department of Environmental Quality or the
 33 State Department of Agriculture shall provide to the Water Resources Department a copy of the
 34 permit issued under ORS 468B.050 or 468B.215 authorizing the land application of ground water for
 35 reuse. The permit shall provide the information regarding the place of use of such water and the
 36 nature of the beneficial reuse.

37 (4) If it is necessary for the Water Resources Department to regulate the use or distribution of
 38 ground water, including uses for purposes that are exempt under subsection (1) of this section, the
 39 department shall use as a priority date for the exempt uses the date indicated in the log for the well
 40 filed with the department under ORS 537.765 or other documentation provided by the well owner
 41 showing when water use began.

42 (5) The person licensed under ORS 537.747 or permitted under ORS 537.753 (4) that constructs
 43 a well to allow ground water use for a purpose that is exempt under subsection (1) of this section
 44 shall provide the Water Resources Department with a map showing the exact location of the well
 45 on the tax lot. The person licensed under ORS 537.747 or permitted under ORS 537.753 (4) that

1 constructs a well shall provide a map required by this subsection to the department, along with the
 2 well log required by ORS 537.765, no later than 30 days after the well is completed. The map must
 3 be prepared in accordance with standards established by the department. The map and well log must
 4 be accompanied by the fee described in subsection (6) of this section to record the exempt ground
 5 water use.

6 (6) The Water Resources Department shall collect a fee of \$300 for recording an exempt ground
 7 water use under subsection (5) of this section. Moneys from fees collected under this subsection
 8 shall be deposited to the credit of the Water Resources Department Water Right Operating Fund.
 9 Notwithstanding ORS 536.009, moneys deposited to the fund under this subsection shall be used for
 10 the purposes of evaluating ground water supplies, conducting ground water studies, carrying out
 11 ground water monitoring, processing ground water data and the administration and enforcement of
 12 this subsection and subsections (3), (5) and (7) of this section.

13 (7) The Water Resources Commission shall adopt rules to implement, administer and enforce
 14 subsections (5) and (6) of this section.

15 **SECTION 3.** (1) A person that uses water for livestock under an exemption described in
 16 ORS 537.141 (2) or 537.545 (1)(a) shall:

- 17 (a) Submit notice of the use to the Water Resources Department;
- 18 (b) Measure the use; and
- 19 (c) Report measurement of the use to the department.

20 (2) The Water Resources Commission shall adopt rules to implement this section.

21 **SECTION 4.** Section 3 of this 2023 Act, and the amendments to ORS 537.141 and 537.545
 22 by sections 1 and 2 of this 2023 Act, apply to uses of water on or after the operative date
 23 specified in section 5 of this 2023 Act.

24 **SECTION 5.** (1) Section 3 of this 2023 Act, and the amendments to ORS 537.141 and
 25 537.545 by sections 1 and 2 of this 2023 Act, become operative on January 1, 2024.

26 (2) The Water Resources Commission and the Water Resources Department may take
 27 any action before the operative date specified in subsection (1) of this section that is neces-
 28 sary to enable the commission and the department to exercise, on and after the operative
 29 date specified in subsection (1) of this section, all of the duties, functions and powers con-
 30 ferred on the commission and the department by section 3 of this 2023 Act, and the amend-
 31 ments to ORS 537.141 and 537.545 by sections 1 and 2 of this 2023 Act.

32 **SECTION 6.** This 2023 Act takes effect on the 91st day after the date on which the 2023
 33 regular session of the Eighty-second Legislative Assembly adjourns sine die.



595 Main St. Aumsville, Oregon 97325
(503) 749-2030 • TTY 711 • Fax (503) 749-1852
www.aumsville.us

Dear Governor Brown,

Aumsville City Council is writing this letter to express our urgent concerns about the potential impacts of three industrial poultry operations that are currently in the planning and permitting process in Marion and Linn counties near Aumsville, Stayton, and Scio.

The proposed facilities, which will each house between 3.4 and 6.5 million birds per year, will have a profound negative economic, social, and environmental impact on the region. There are multiple direct impacts on Mid-Valley COG members, Oregon Cascades West COG members, and other regional partners including:

- The City of Aumsville
- The City of Salem
- The City of Scio
- The City of Stayton
- The City of Sublimity
- Marion Soil and Water Conservation District
- Aumsville Rural Fire District
- Marion and Linn Counties
- The Confederated Tribes of the Grand Ronde

These are our main concerns:

1. These factory operations appear to be taking advantage of Oregon's overly permissive stock watering exemptions that were not intended for this scale of commercial operation.
2. The scale of these factory operations will damage regional recreation, tourism and promotion in Aumsville, Stayton, and Scio (i.e., Aumsville Corn Festival, City of 6 Bridges or Summer on the Santiam).
3. These industrial animal operations will constantly degrade air quality in and around Aumsville, Stayton, and Scio in a manner inconsistent with traditional farming, including large scale ag.
4. A pipe that provides water for the City of Salem runs under the Aumsville site.
5. Oregon's exemption for ammonia discharge means these sites – all of which sit in high water table areas – will have negative impacts on the Santiam River and its tributaries. All three sites are adjacent to or nearby irrigation systems, rivers or creeks that feed into the Willamette.
6. One of the sites would affect Wiseman Island, a unique lamprey and cutthroat spawning ground on the Santiam, mostly owned by ODFW and Marion County.

Aumsville City Council hopes that you will direct your agencies to take whatever actions are available to them to prevent these facilities from going forward, and to review Oregon laws and policies that permit these kinds of operations in the Willamette Valley.

Sincerely,

Derek Clevenger, Mayor

on Behalf of the Aumsville City Council



REQUEST FOR RECOGNITION

If you wish to speak before the City Council, please fill out this form and hand it to the City staff prior to the opening of the meeting. This document is a public record. **Comments are limited to 3 minutes for all options below.**

Name (please print): Bob & Kay Pendleton

Address: 418 E Jefferson St. Stayton OR 97383
Street City State Zip

I wish to speak during:

PUBLIC COMMENT (Speak on a subject not on the Council agenda.)

GENERAL BUSINESS: (Speak on an item on the current Council agenda.)

Agenda Item: _____

PUBLIC HEARING – TOPIC: _____

Comments: _____

Comments are limited to 3 minutes or less.



REQUEST FOR RECOGNITION

If you wish to speak before the City Council, please fill out this form and hand it to the City staff prior to the opening of the meeting. This document is a public record. **Comments are limited to 3 minutes for all options below.**

Name (please print): Edie Dooley

Address: _____
Street City State Zip

I wish to speak during:

PUBLIC COMMENT (Speak on a subject not on the Council agenda.)

GENERAL BUSINESS: (Speak on an item on the current Council agenda.)

Agenda Item: _____

PUBLIC HEARING – TOPIC: _____

Comments: Poultry CAFO

Comments are limited to 3 minutes or less.

Edie -