RESOLUTION NO. 921

A RESOLUTION AMENDING THE CITY OF STAYTON'S SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT CHARGES FOR PARKS

WHEREAS, Stayton Municipal Code (SMC) Chapter 13.12 provides for the establishment of Systems Development Charges (SDCs) upon completion of an analysis of the City's current investment in its parks system and the projected capital improvements to be constructed and for the adoption of a methodology explaining how the SDCs are calculated;

WHEREAS, the SMC Chapter 13.12.220 (2) specifies that such charges shall be set by separate Resolution of the Stayton City Council following a public hearing;

WHEREAS, the Oregon Revised Statutes (ORS) provide the framework for establishing an SDC, and for notification and public hearing of the City of Stayton's intent to impose SDCs;

WHEREAS, the Stayton City Council adopted a Parks and Recreation Master Plan in 2005 which included updated capital improvement plans which affect SDCs;

WHEREAS, the Stayton City Council adopted a Parks SDC Methodology in 2007 based on the capital improvement plans in the 2005 Parks and Recreation Master Plan;

WHEREAS, the 2007 Parks SDC Methodology was based on 2005 estimates of park improvement costs that have not been updated for inflation since that time;

WHEREAS, since 2007, the City has implemented some of the recommended park improvements in the 2005 Parks and Recreation Master Plan;

WHEREAS, it is appropriate and timely that the SDC previously established be amended to reflect updated cost estimates for future improvements and remove completed projects from the future improvements list;

WHEREAS, the City's Planning and Development Department and Public Works Department worked together to update the Parks SDC;

WHEREAS, the City staff issued its report *Parks System Development Charge Update*, dated December 1, 2014, with the methodology;

WHEREAS, the City Council held a public hearing on December 1, 2014 on the proposed Parks SDC methodology; and

WHEREAS, the Stayton City Council has determined that the methodology and rates hereinafter specified and established are just, reasonable and necessary.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that:

SECTION 1: AMENDMENT AND UPDATING OF SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT CHARGES

In accordance with SMC Chapter 13.12, this Resolution amends, updates, and establishes the methodology and provides the basis for the SDCs on those activities which create the demand for capital improvements used for Parks.

SECTION 2: SCOPE

The SDCs established by this Resolution are separate from, and in addition to, any other applicable taxes, fees, assessments, or charges, including but not limited to SDCs, which may be required by the City of Stayton or represent a condition of a land use or development approval.

SECTION 3: METHODOGY

The methodology produced by the City of Stayton Planning and Development Department and Public Works Department to update the SDCs for Parks is described in the attached reports and, by this reference, hereby made a part of this Resolution.

SECTION 4: FEE

The City amends and updates its SDCs as follows:

The **Parks System Development Charge** is based on a per capita reimbursement fee of 60.44 and per capita park improvement fee of \$897.00. The total per capita SDC of \$957.44 applied to a residential occupancy average of 2.74 persons per housing unit. The Parks SDC shall be applied only to housing developments for permanent residents.

The Parks SDC collected in accordance with Chapter 13.12 of the Stayton Municipal Code shall be:

\$2,623 per housing unit

SECTION 5: EFFECTIVE DATE

This Resolution shall become effective upon its adoption by the Stayton City Council.

SECTION 6: REVIEW

This Resolution shall be reviewed annually during the month of June and the rates amended as appropriate for the next fiscal year. Consideration shall be given to the rate of inflation for construction as reported in the Engineering News Record, published by the McGraw-Hill companies, as the 20-City Average Construction Cost Index for the period June of the preceding year through May of the current year.

ADOPTED BY THE STAYTON CITY COUNCIL this First day of December 2014.

Signed: 12 - 1, 2014

CITY OF STAYTON

Signed: 12-7-14

3-**3-14** , 2014.

Attest:

Keith D. Campbell, City Administrator

A. Scott Vigil, Mayor

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

David A. Rhoten, City Attorney

City of Stayton

PARK SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT CHARGE UPDATE

December 1, 2014 Draft for City Council Public Hearing

Prepared by the City of Stayton
Public Works Department and Planning & Development Department

CITY OF STAYTON

PARK SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT CHARGE UPDATE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMAI	RY	1
METHOD	OOLOGY PARK SDC	2
REIMBUI	RSEMENT FEE	3
IMPROVI	EMENT FEE	4
PARK SY	STEM DEVELOPMENT CHARGE 1	0
APPENDI	ICES	. 1
	LIST OF TABLES	
Table 1	Current and Proposed Park SDC	1
Table 2	Stayton Population Projections	2
Table 3	Cost Basis for Park Reimbursement SDC	3
Table 4	Park Reimbursement Fee SDC	4
Table 5	Existing Park Acres and Recommended Park Standards	5
Table 6	2013 Existing Park Acres and Recommended Park Acres in 2034	5
Table 7	Park Acres Needed	6
Table 8	Total Cost of Proposed Park Land Acquisition and Improvements	7
Table 9	Summary of Proposed Park Land Acquisition and Improvements List of SDC Eligible Projects	8
Table 10	Potential Revenue Sources for Park Improvements	9
Table 11	Park Improvement SDC	10
Table 12	Current and Proposed Park SDC	10

SUMMARY

The City of Stayton adopted the *Stayton Parks and Recreation Master Plan* in March, 2005. Following adoption of the master plan the City retained Keller & Associates, Inc., a consulting engineering firm, to estimate the costs for design and construction of the recommended park improvements in the Master Plan and determine whether the proposed improvements were needed to serve existing residents or were needed to serve future growth in the community. Upon completion of the cost estimates, the city's financial consultant Ray Bartlett, Economic and Financial Analysis, Inc., prepared a Park SDC report and recommended a Park SDC fee. In April 2007 the City Council adopted a revised Park SDC fee of \$2,305 for each new residential dwelling unit.

The 2007 Park SDC was established as a parks improvement fee. No reimbursement fee was established to recoup the cost of investments made in the city's park facilities prior to 2007. The Park SDC is charged to all new residential developments. The Park SDC is not charged to commercial, industrial or other non-residential developments. The fee is collected from the developer at the time a building permit is issued for each new housing unit.

In 2012, the City's Comprehensive Plan Update Committee recommended to the City Council that all of the City's SDCs be reviewed to assure that they properly account for planned improvements and reflect recent investments in city infrastructure. In 2014, the City of Stayton Public Works and Planning Departments prepared this 2014 Park SDC update. Since the adoption of the 2007 Park SDC, the City has made investments in the City's parks, as proposed in the 2005 Master Plan. These investments have resulted in the addition of a reimbursement fee component of the Park SDC. In addition, the City has refined plans for improvements to Santiam Park, Pioneer Park and the Riverfront Park. When coupled with the 2005 Master Plan, the development of these refinement plans warrant a review and update of the improvement fee portion of the Park SDC.

The proposed 2014 Park SDC will be composed of both a reimbursement fee and an improvement fee. Table 1 compares the current Park SDC with the proposed Park SDC.

Table 1
Current and Proposed Park SDC

	2007	Maximum Park SDC	Proposed	Chan	ge
Type of SDC	Park SDC	Allowed	Park SDC	\$	%
Parks Improvement Fee	2,305	2,457	2,457	152	
Parks Reimbursement Fee	_	166	166	166	
Total	2,305	2,623	2,623	318	14%

METHODOLOGY - PARK SDC

Similar to Stayton's other SDC methodologies, the Park SDC update is designed to meet the requirements of the State of Oregon statues, ORS 223.297 to 223.314. SDCs are established to ensure that new growth in the community pays its fair share for the construction of new and improved public facilities. The Park SDC is comprised of two elements:

- 1. Reimbursement fee. The reimbursement fee share of the Park SDC is based on an analysis of the actual costs incurred by the City for acquiring park land or making park improvements. The City evaluates whether or not a project benefits existing residents or new residential developments or both. Based on the analysis the City allocates the actual costs to both existing residents and future users. The reimbursement fee is based only on the share of project costs that can be allocated to future residential development.
- 2. Improvement fee. The improvement fee share of the Park SDC is established based on an analysis of the estimated cost of proposed parks and recreational facility improvements. Projects must be included in the Parks and Recreation Master Plan or in specific park facility plan updates. Only the portion of the project costs that directly benefit new residential growth may be included in the parks improvement fee analysis. Project costs may include master planning, land acquisition, design, engineering, construction and the cost of financing the improvements that will benefit new development.

Population Projections:

The Stayton Parks and Recreation Master Plan was developed in 2005 when the City of Stayton and the surrounding areas of Marion County were growing quickly. The adopted parks plan assumed the City's population would grow at an average annual population growth rate of 3.6%. The plan projected the 2020 population would be 13,827. Due to the great recession beginning in 2007, growth in Stayton slowed dramatically. The population projections in the plan were too high and needed to be adjusted.

Table 2 Stayton Population Projections

Year	Actual Population	Estimated Population @ 1.7% Avg Annual Growth	Data Source	
1990	5,011		U. S. Census	
2000	6,816		U. S. Census	
2010	7,644		U. S. Census	
2013	7,685		PSU Center for Population Research Annual Population Estimate	
2020		9,597	te.	
2030		11,359		
2034	20-year planning period	12,151	Marion County Coordinated Population Projection	
2040		13,445		
2047	UGB Buildout	15,129		

In 2009, Marion County adopted a coordinated population projection for all cities in Marion County and for the unincorporated areas of Marion County. Stayton's growth rate was revised downward to a 1.7% average annual growth rate. The 2013 Stayton Comprehensive Plan was adopted with the revised 1.7% per year growth rate. Using this growth rate, the City projects Stayton's population will reach 12,151 in 20 years (2034) and a population of 15,129 when the Urban Growth area is fully built out. The adjusted population projections were used to calculate the Park SDC fees.

Reimbursement Fee

Since the adoption of the 2005 Parks Master Plan and the 2007 Park SDC, the City has made investments in park development and improvements. The 2007 Park SDC was established as an improvement fee. Based on investments in the City's parks system from 2001 to 2014, it is appropriate to add a reimbursement fee as part of the Park SDC fee. The projects that have been completed and are included in the reimbursement fee have been removed from the list of proposed projects used to calculate the improvement fee.

Table 3
Cost Basis for Park Reimbursement SDC Fee

	Eligible Project Costs for SDC Reimbursement Fee (2001 to 2013)	Total Project Costs	Grants and Donations	SDC Funds Expended
1	Stayton Parks & Recreation Master Plan	37,222		37,222
2	Park SDC Analysis & Preparation	46,391		46,391
3	Pioneer Park Master Plan Update	6,472		6,472
4	Santiam Park Improvements	698,749	180,780	517,969
5	Community Park & Open Space Planning & Land Acquisition	31,121		31,121
6	Riverfront Park & Pedestrian Bridge	205,274	109,930	95,344
	Total Park Planning & Improvement Costs	1,025,129	290,710	734,419

SDC eligible projects are listed in the Parks Master Plan. The reimbursement fee is based on the actual costs incurred by the City for eligible project costs minus federal and state grants and donations. Table 3 summarizes the actual costs incurred for the period 2001 to 2013 and lists the actual expenditure of SDC funds for eligible project costs. The park improvements included in Table 3 have a capacity to serve a finite population over the 20-year planning period from 2014 to 2034.

The completed projects serve both existing residents and future growth. Currently, the 2013 population of 7,685 is 63.24% of the estimated 2034 population of 12,151. The Park reimbursement SDC analysis concludes 63.24% of the costs for the projects listed in Table 3 serve

existing residents. Therefore, 36.76% of the costs of these projects will benefit future residents. Table 4 shows that \$269,948 (36.76%) of the SDC costs incurred to date will benefit future residents and should be used to calculate the Park Reimbursement SDC.

Table 4
Park Reimbursement SDC Fee

	Park Reimbursement Fee Calculations	wa esema di sa
1	Actual SDC Eligible Share of Park Improvements and Stayton Parks and Recreation Master Planning (2001-2014)	\$ 734,419
2	Future Growth Share of Population (2013 to 2034)	36.76%
3	Share of SDC Eligible Share assigned to future growth (1 x 2)	\$269,948
4	Future Population Growth for 20-year planning period (2013 to 2034)	4,466
5	Park Reimbursement Fee per capita (3 ÷ 4)	60.44
6	# of persons per household	2.74
	Park Reimbursement Fee per household (5 x 6)	\$166

Based on the actual cost of preparing the park plans and park improvements, a per capita cost basis is calculated in Table 4. Using an average household size of 2.74, the per capita cost basis is converted to a proposed Park Reimbursement SDC fee of \$166 per household.

Improvement Fee

The City's Parks and Recreation Master Plan anticipated Stayton's population would grow to 13,827 by the year 2020. As noted above, the City's population projections have been adjusted to reach 12,151 people by 2034 (20 year planning period) and 15,129 by 2047 (UGB build out). The Master Plan recommends park improvements through the entire UGB area. With a reduced population base, the City recognizes that not all of the park improvements called for in the Master Plan will be developed within a 20-year time frame. The Park Improvement SDC is based on those projects the City believes are needed during the 20-year planning period.

In order to determine the Park Improvement SDC fee, the City reviewed the Master Plan, including project park land and open space needs as well as the recommended list of park improvements.

Park Land Needs Projections:

The Stayton Parks and Recreation Master Plan recommends the City increase the amount of parks and open space acreage owned by the City of Stayton and other public entities. New neighborhood and community parks are proposed for the north and east ends of the UGB where new residential growth is anticipated. In addition, the plan includes an ambitious goal to acquire linear parks, open space and develop recreational trails along or near to Hwy 22 and the waterways that run through the community.

This will require the acquisition of land either when new development occurs, through cooperative agreements with other public entities or when individual parcels become available for purchase.

The plan recommends an increase in the number of park acres per 1,000 people from 22.65 acres per 1,000 residents in year 2013 to 28.62 acres per 1,000 residents in the year 2034. The Master Plan states that the number of acres mini-parks needed per 1,000 residents will decrease slightly by the year 2034. However, there will be an increased need per 1,000 persons for community parks, neighborhood parks and linear parks/open space areas.

Table 5 summarizes the existing amount of park land and open space currently owned or leased by the City of Stayton for park and recreation use. The table shows that in 2014 the City does not meet the recommended standard of 28.62 acres of park land per 1,000 persons living in the City.

Table 5
Existing Park Acres and
Recommended Park Standards

		City of Stayton Existing Parks		
Type of Park	Existing Parks Acres	Current Acres per 1,000 persons	Standard Acres per 1,000 persons	
Mini-Parks	2.79	0.36	0.29	
Neighborhood	4.29	0.56	1.74	
Community	17.11	2.23	3.45	
Linear Parks & Open Spaces	149.85	19.50	23.14	
Totals	174.03	22.65	28.62	

Table 6 shows that in 2014 the City of Stayton needs to acquire 45.91 acres of new parks and open space areas to meet the recommended standard. Overall, the Master Plan recommends the City double the amount of land used for public parks, open space and greenways by the year 2034. It recommends the City acquire 173.74 acres of park land over the next 20 years.

Table 6
2013 Existing Park Acres and
Recommended Park Acres in 2034

			2013 ting Parks		Par	2034 k Land Projection	ıs
Type of Park	2013 Population	Existing Park Acres	Recommended Acres	Surplus / (Deficit)	2034 Population	Recommended Acres	Surplus / (Deficit)
Mini-Parks	7,685	2.79	2.23	.56	12,151	3.52	(.73)
Neighborhood	7,685	4.29	13.37	(9.08)	12,151	21.14	(16.85)
Community	7,685	17.11	26.51	(9.40)	12,151	41.92	(24.81)
Linear Parks & Open Spaces	7,685	149.85	177.83	(27.98)	12,151	281.18	(131.34)
Totals		174.03	219.94	(45.91)		347.77	(173.74)

Table 7
Park Acres Needed

	Parks Master Plan F	ecommendations		
Type of Park	Acres Needed to Meet Current Demand (2013)	Acres Proposed to Serve New Growth (2013-2034)		
Mini-Parks	0.00	2.50		
Neighborhood	9.00	3.00		
Community	9.00	34.50		
Linear Parks & Open Spaces	29.00	73.00		
Totals	47.00	113.00		

Table 7 shows the Parks Master Plan recommends the City acquire 47 acres for neighborhood, community and linear/open space park areas just to serve the 7,685 residents who lived in Stayton in 2013. The cost of acquiring these additional park lands are not eligible for use of SDC funds.

In order to determine a Park Improvement SDC, the City must allocate how many acres of park land the City needs to acquire to future residential growth. Parks Improvement SDC funds can be used to purchase park land needed to serve future growth in Stayton. The Master Plan recommends the City acquire 113 acres of new park land and open space to serve growth during the next 20 years.

Recommended Capital Improvements:

In addition to the land acquisition recommendations, the City has identified a list of recommended park rehabilitation projects and capital improvements for each park. This list includes the recommendations listed in the Stayton Parks and Recreation Master Plan and refinement plans prepared by the city staff and consultants since 2005. The refinement plans include Santiam Park Phase 2 (2009), Pioneer Park Master Plan update (2011) and the Riverfront Park Management Plan (2011).

Table 8 lists the total cost all recommended land acquisition and park capital improvements by park name and park type. The recommended improvements for each park were reviewed to determine if the individual project was needed to rehabilitate the park and serve existing residents or if the proposed improvement would benefit both existing residents and future residents. The amount of the project allocated to growth is shown in the far right column of Table 8.

Table 8
Total Cost
Proposed Park Land Acquisition and Improvements

			Proposed (Park Improv		SDC Eligible
#	Park Name	Park Type	Total Cost	Growth	%
1	Golf Lane Park (P) ¹	Community	2,568,420	1,091,299	49.2%
2	Community Center Park	Community	743,608	321,377	43.2%
3	Community Center Complex	Community	500,000	246,012	49.2%
4	Mehama Road Park (P)	Community	4,443,339	2,186,233	49.2%
5	Skateboard Area (P)	Community	449,286	221,060	49.2%
6	Pioneer Park	Community	2,842,686	544,852	19.2%
7	Westown Park	Mini	56,154	-	0%
8	Fir Street Park (P)	Mini	505,447	-	0%
9	Northslope Park	Mini	45,228	-	0%
10	Northslope Park (P)	Mini	157,599	77,543	49.2%
11	Stayton Ditch Greenway (P)	Linear	841,663	-	0%
12	Salem Ditch Greenway (P)	Linear	1,228,039	-	0%
13	Lucas Ditch Greenway (P)	Linear	283,050	139,268	49.2%
14	Santiam Highway ROW (P)	Linear	1,641,393	807,606	49.2%
15	Quail Run Park	Neighborhood	72,635	23,948	33.3%
16	Ida Street Park (P)	Neighborhood	977,947	-	0%
17	Pine Street Park (P)	Neighborhood	494,215	196,007	39.7%
18	Mill Creek Greenway (P)	Open Space	419,334	206,323	49.2%
19	Wilderness Park	Open Space	212,500	104,555	49.2%
20	N. Santiam Greenway (P)	Open Space	937,500	461,273	49.2%
21	Riverfront Park	Open Space	372,405	183,232	49.2%
	Total		19,792,449	6,810,588	34.4%

The \$6.81 million amount assigned to growth assumes full development of the urban growth boundary (UGB) area. Realistically, only a portion of the UGB will be developed in the 20-year planning period. Therefore, the City allocates costs for projects that can realistically be developed during the 20-year planning period from 2014 to 2034. Table 9 shows this allocation.

⁽P) – Proposed Park

Table 9
Summary of Proposed Park Land Acquisition and Improvements
SDC Eligible Projects During and After 20-Year Planning Period

			Land Acquisition		Improvements	Total Cost
#	Park Name	Park Type	(acres)	by 2034	2035 to 2047	2013\$
1	Golf Lane Park (P) ²	Community	20.00	654,818	436,482	1,091,299
2	Community Center Park	Community	1.00	192,837	128,540	321,377
3	Community Center Complex	Community	0.00	246,012	-	246,012
4	Mehama Road Park (P)	Community	20.00	1,311,815	874,417	2,186,233
5	Skateboard Area (P)	Community	1.50	221,060	-	221,060
6	Pioneer Park	Community	0.00	326,930	217,922	544,852
7	Westown Park	Mini	0.00	-	-	-
8	Fir Street Park (P)	Mini	1.50	-	-	-
9	Northslope Park	Mini	0.00	_	-	-
10	Northslope Park (P)	Mini	1.00	77,543	-	77,543
11	Stayton Ditch Greenway (P)	Linear	14.00	-	-	-
12	Salem Ditch Greenway (P)	Linear	15.00	_	-	_
13	Lucas Ditch Greenway (P)	Linear	4.00	139,268	-	139,268
14	Santiam Highway ROW (P)	Linear	13.00	-	807,606	807,606
15	Quail Run Park	Neighborhood	0.00	23,948	_	23,948
16	Ida Street Park (P)	Neighborhood	7.00	-	-	-
17	Pine Street Park (P)	Neighborhood	5.00	196,007	-	196,007
18	Mill Creek Greenway (P)	Open Space	16.00	123,801	82,522	206,323
19	Wilderness Park	Open Space	0.00	104,555	-	104,555
20	N. Santiam Greenway (P)	Open Space	40.00	276,780	184,493	461,273
21	Riverfront Park	Open Space	0.00	109,946	73,287	183,232
	Total		160.00	4,005,320	2,805,268	6,810,588

Revenue Sources for Proposed Improvements:

The City has historically used multiple revenue sources to pay for park land acquisition and to finance park improvements. The City has received grants, bequests of land, private foundation grants, donor gifts, federal grants and state grants for its park acquisition and development

² (P) – Proposed Park

projects. In addition, the City has pledged portions of the 2004, 2008 and 2012 local option tax levies to support specific capital projects in the City's parks, swimming pool and public library.

The City has invested \$1.025 million on park improvement projects listed in the Parks and Recreation Master Plan and SDC resolutions since 2001. For these projects the City received \$198,430 in state and foundation grants and \$92,280 in tracked donations from citizens and individual donors. Using these numbers, grants and donations have contributed just under 30% of the park improvement costs.

The above donation amount reflects only a portion of the actual value of all donations and in-kind contributions received by the City. A portion of Santiam Park was donated by the developer of the Sylvan Springs/Santiam Station development. In-kind contributions by City staff and community members have also been used to complete small park improvement projects. During the past five years Boy Scout Troop 50 and the annual group of I-Serve volunteers have contributed many untracked hours and donated improvements to Pioneer, Community Center and Riverfront Park.

The Parks Improvement Fee methodology assumes the historic trend of obtaining grants, in-kind contributions and community donations will continue. Table 10 provides a general projection of funding sources for the estimated \$19.7 million of identified park improvements.

Table 10
Potential Revenue Sources for Park Improvements

	Revenue Source	Amount	% Share
1	Grants: Federal, State and Private Foundation	7,000,000	38%
2	Donations & In-Kind Contributions	1,750,000	9%
3	Local Option Levy and GO Bonds	2,000,000	6%
4	Other Sources including Land Donations	1,000,000	6%
5	Park Improvement SDC Fees	8,000,000	40%
	Totals – All Revenue Sources	19,750,000	100%

Park Improvement SDC Calculations:

Since these parks will meet a future need based on higher park standards than currently exist, the improvement fee is equal to the sum of the estimated costs of the projects divided by total future population. The result is a per capita park improvement fee of \$897. The improvement fee is based on a projected average household size of 2.74 persons per housing unit which results in an improvement fee of \$2,457.

Table 11
Park Improvement SDC Fee

	Park Improvement Fee Calculations	
1	Parks Improvement Costs Allocated to Growth (2013 to 2034)	\$ 4,005,320
2	Future Population Growth for 20-year planning period (2013 to 2034)	4,466
3	Park Improvement Fee per capita (1 ÷ 2)	897
4	# of persons per household	2.74
100	Park Improvement Fee per household (3 x 4)	\$2,457

PARK SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT CHARGE

The Park SDC is is the sum of the reimbursement fee and the improvement fee. Based on the park SDC methodology included in this report, Stayton's Park SDC may increase from the current \$2,305 to a maximum of \$2,623 per dwelling unit. The City Council may adopt an SDC fee that is lower than the maximum permitted by the SDC analysis.

Table 12
Current and Proposed Park SDC Fees

	2007	Maximum Park SDC	Proposed	Chan	ge
Type of SDC	Park SDC	Allowed	Park SDC	\$	%
Parks Improvement Fee	2,305	2,457	2,457	152	
Parks Reimbursement Fee	-	166	166	166	
Total Park SDC	2,305	2,623	2,623	382	14%

Similar to its other SDCs, Stayton may adjust the Park SDC annually for inflation using the construction cost index published by McGraw Hill in the ENR magazine. A more detailed description of how the index will be applied is described in the City's Water SDC update.

Appendix 1
City of Stayton Parks Improvements
Detailed List of Recommended Capital Improvements

Community Parks		Land cquisition	2012 \$	SDC Elig?	SDC Eligible	% to
	Description	(acres)	Estimated Cost			Grow
Golf	Lane Park (Proposed)	/************************************				
1	Land Acquisition (to serve existing residents)	9.00	280,355	No	-	
1	Land Acquisition (to serve new growth)	11.00	342,656	Υ	342,656	
2	Baseball fields		215,657	Υ	215,657	
3	Soccer fields		287,543	Ŷ	287,543	
4	Open multi-use grass area		95,848	Ý	95,848	
5	Children's Playground (tot & youth)		11,981	Y	11,981	
6	Restrooms		419,334	Y	419,334	
7	Picnic Areas w/ shelters (various sizes, 2		59,905	Υ	59,905	
8	Group picnic areas		29,952	Υ	29,952	
9	Trails/pathway systems		179,715	Υ	179.715	
10			71,886	Υ	71,886	
11		trach recents	•	Ý	59,905	
	Subtotal	, udan recepte	2,054,736		1,774,382	
	A&E plus contingencies @ 25%		513,684		443,595	
	Total Estimated Cost		2,568,420	Zummanga	2,217,977	ded vyg
			SDC:	Share	1,091,299	49
Com	munity Center Park (Existing) Land Acquisition (based on 2012 MC TMV Assessor Values)	*	-		•	
•	320 W. Virginia	0.59	208,440	Υ	208,440	
	282 W. Virgina	0.23	103,840	Ϋ́	103,840	
	246 W. Virginia	0.18	133,900	Y	133,900	
2	Modify slope around concrete tunnel & play area	1.00	23,962	No	-	
3	Provide ornamental lighting on footpaths		10,783	Υ	10,783	
4	Resurface tennis courts			No	-	
5	Improve drainage at southeast corner of the open play	area	2,396	No	-	
6	Modify & widen pathway throughout the park		40,000	No		
7	Install swings in play area		5,990	No	_	
	• . •				-	
8	Provide pre-school age equipment in play area		-	No		
9	Develop new "plaza" between library & community cent		15,575	Υ	15,575	
10	Site amenities (picnic tables, benches, bike racks, drinking fountains	, trash recepta	50,000	Y	50,000	
	Subtotal		594,887		522,538	
	A&E plus contingencies @ 25%		148,722		130,635	
	Total Estimated Cost		743,608 SDC	Share	653,173 321,377	49
Com	nmunity Center Complex (Existing)					
		0.00		v		
1	Land Acquisition	0.00		Y	***	
2	Community Center Refurbishing		300,000	Y	300,000	
3	Install commercial kitchen in community center		100,000	<u>Y</u>	100,000	
	Subtotal		400,000		400,000	
			.00,000			
	A&E plus contingencies @ 25%		100,000		100,000	
	A&E plus contingencies @ 25% Total Estimated Cost				100,000 500,000	
			100,000 500,000	Share		49
	Total Estimated Cost ama Rd. Park (Proposed)		100,000 500,000 SDC		500,000 246,012	49
	Total Estimated Cost	20.00	100,000 500,000		500,000	49
	Total Estimated Cost ama Rd. Park (Proposed)	20.00	100,000 500,000 SDC		500,000 246,012	49
1	Total Estimated Cost ama Rd. Park (Proposed) Land Acquisition	20.00	100,000 500,000 SDC 1,150,173	Y	500,000 246,012 1,150,173	49
1 2 3	Total Estimated Cost ama Rd. Park (Proposed) Land Acquisition Baseball fields Soccer fields	20.00	100,000 500,000 SDC 1,150,173 215,657 287,543	Y Y Y	500,000 246,012 1,150,173 215,657 287,543	49
1 2 3 4	Total Estimated Cost ama Rd. Park (Proposed) Land Acquisition Baseball fields Soccer fields Open multi-use grass area	20.00	100,000 500,000 SDC 1,150,173 215,657 287,543 95,848	Y Y Y Y	500,000 246,012 1,150,173 215,657 287,543 95,848	49
1 2 3 4 5	Total Estimated Cost ama Rd. Park (Proposed) Land Acquisition Baseball fields Soccer fields Open multi-use grass area Children's Playground (tot & youth)	20.00	100,000 500,000 SDC 1,150,173 215,657 287,543 95,848 11,981	Y Y Y Y	500,000 246,012 1,150,173 215,657 287,543 95,848 11,981	49
1 2 3 4 5 6	Total Estimated Cost ama Rd. Park (Proposed) Land Acquisition Baseball fields Soccer fields Open multi-use grass area Children's Playground (tot & youth) Restrooms	20.00	100,000 500,000 SDC 1,150,173 215,657 287,543 95,848	Y Y Y Y Y	500,000 246,012 1,150,173 215,657 287,543 95,848	49
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Total Estimated Cost ama Rd. Park (Proposed) Land Acquisition Baseball fields Soccer fields Open multi-use grass area Children's Playground (tot & youth) Restrooms Picnic Areas w/ shelters	20.00	100,000 500,000 SDC 1,150,173 215,657 287,543 95,848 11,981 200,000	Y Y Y Y Y	500,000 246,012 1,150,173 215,657 287,543 95,848 11,981 200,000	49
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Total Estimated Cost ama Rd. Park (Proposed) Land Acquisition Baseball fields Soccer fields Open multi-use grass area Children's Playground (tot & youth) Restrooms Picnic Areas w/ shelters Group picnic areas	20.00	100,000 500,000 SDC 1,150,173 215,657 287,543 95,848 11,981 200,000	Y Y Y Y Y Y	500,000 246,012 1,150,173 215,657 287,543 95,848 11,981 200,000 - 179,715	49
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Total Estimated Cost ama Rd. Park (Proposed) Land Acquisition Baseball fields Soccer fields Open multi-use grass area Children's Playground (tot & youth) Restrooms Picnic Areas w/ shelters	20.00	100,000 500,000 SDC 1,150,173 215,657 287,543 95,848 11,981 200,000	Y Y Y Y Y	500,000 246,012 1,150,173 215,657 287,543 95,848 11,981 200,000	49
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Total Estimated Cost ama Rd. Park (Proposed) Land Acquisition Baseball fields Soccer fields Open multi-use grass area Children's Playground (tot & youth) Restrooms Picnic Areas w/ shelters Group picnic areas Trails/pathway systems	20.00	100,000 500,000 SDC 1,150,173 215,657 287,543 95,848 11,981 200,000	Y Y Y Y Y Y	500,000 246,012 1,150,173 215,657 287,543 95,848 11,981 200,000 - 179,715	49
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Total Estimated Cost ama Rd. Park (Proposed) Land Acquisition Baseball fields Soccer fields Open multi-use grass area Children's Playground (tot & youth) Restrooms Picnic Areas w/ shelters Group picnic areas Trails/pathway systems Outdoor basketball courts		100,000 500,000 SDC 1,150,173 215,657 287,543 95,848 11,981 200,000 	Y Y Y Y Y Y Y	500,000 246,012 1,150,173 215,657 287,543 95,848 11,981 200,000 - 179,715 179,715 71,886	49
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Total Estimated Cost ama Rd. Park (Proposed) Land Acquisition Baseball fields Soccer fields Open multi-use grass area Children's Playground (tot & youth) Restrooms Picnic Areas w/ shelters Group picnic areas Trails/pathway systems Outdoor basketball courts Site amenities (picnic tables, benches, bike racks, drinking fountains		100,000 500,000 SDC 1,150,173 215,657 287,543 95,848 11,981 200,000 	Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y	500,000 246,012 1,150,173 215,657 287,543 95,848 11,981 200,000 - 179,715 179,715 71,886 59,905	49
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Total Estimated Cost ama Rd. Park (Proposed) Land Acquisition Baseball fields Soccer fields Open multi-use grass area Children's Playground (tot & youth) Restrooms Picnic Areas w/ shelters Group picnic areas Trails/pathway systems Outdoor basketball courts Site amenities (picnic tables, benches, bike racks, drinking fountains)		100,000 500,000 SDC 1,150,173 215,657 287,543 95,848 11,981 200,000 - 179,715 71,886 59,905 1,102,249	Y Y Y Y Y Y Y	500,000 246,012 1,150,173 215,657 287,543 95,848 11,981 200,000 - 179,715 179,715 71,886 59,905 1,102,249	49
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Total Estimated Cost ama Rd. Park (Proposed) Land Acquisition Baseball fields Soccer fields Open multi-use grass area Children's Playground (tot & youth) Restrooms Picnic Areas w/ shelters Group picnic areas Trails/pathway systems Outdoor basketball courts Site amenities (picnic tables, benches, bike racks, drinking fountains) General park development		100,000 500,000 SDC 1,150,173 215,657 287,543 95,848 11,981 200,000 179,715 179,715 71,886 59,905 1,102,249 3,554,672	Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y	500,000 246,012 1,150,173 215,657 287,543 95,848 11,981 200,000 - 179,715 179,715 71,886 59,905 1,102,249 3,554,672	49
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Total Estimated Cost ama Rd. Park (Proposed) Land Acquisition Baseball fields Soccer fields Open multi-use grass area Children's Playground (tot & youth) Restrooms Picnic Areas w/ shelters Group picnic areas Trails/pathway systems Outdoor basketball courts Site amenities (picnic tables, benches, bike racks, drinking fountains)		100,000 500,000 SDC 1,150,173 215,657 287,543 95,848 11,981 200,000 - 179,715 71,886 59,905 1,102,249	Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y	500,000 246,012 1,150,173 215,657 287,543 95,848 11,981 200,000 - 179,715 179,715 71,886 59,905 1,102,249	49

Appendix 1
City of Stayton Parks Improvements
Detailed List of Recommended Capital Improvements

Community Parks		Land Acquisition	2012 \$	SDC Elig?	SDC Eligible	% to	
#		Description	(acres)	Estimated Cost			Growth
Ε	Skate	eboard Area					
	1	Land Acquisition	1.50	89,857	Υ	89.857	
	2	Construct skate park w/ jumps and ramps	,,,,,	239,619	Ý	239,619	
	3	Construct small shelter building		29,952	Ÿ	29,952	
	4	Site amenities (picnic tables, benches, bike racks, drinking fount	ains, trash recepta		Ý		
		Subtotal	·····	359,429		359,429	
		A&E plus contingencies @ 25%		89,857		89,857	
		Total Estimated Cost		449,286	*************	449,286	
				SDC	Share	221,060	49.2%
F	Pione	eer Park (Existing)					
	1	Land acquisition	0.00		Υ	-	
	2	West entry and parking area		302,766	Υ	60,553	20.0%
	3	Play areas and restroom		823,467	Υ	164,693	20.0%
	4	Bandstand and lawn		300,553	Υ	300,553	
	5	Bridge area and ditch improvements		287,679	No	•	
	6	East entry and parking area		283,151	Υ	283,151	
	7	Tree management		51,465	No	•	
	8	Interpretive design and signage		56,612	Υ	11,322	20.0%
				2,105,693		820,272	
		Bainnson estimate: A&E plus contingencies @ 35%		736,993		287,095	
	***************************************	Total Estimated Cost		2,842,686		1,107,368	
				SDC	Share	544,852	49.2%
		Total - Community Parks	43.50	\$ 11,547,340 SDC	Share	\$ 9,371,143 \$ 4,610,833	
		Land acquisition proposed to serve existing residents	9.00				
		Land acquisition proposed to serve new growth	34.50				

Appendix 2
City of Stayton Parks Improvements
Detailed List of Recommended Capital Improvements

Parks	Personal	Land Acquisition	2012 \$ Inflation Estimated Cost	SDC Elig?	SDC Eligible	% to
147.	Description	(acres)	Estimated Cost			Grov
wes	stown Park (Existing)					
	Note: Westown Park is an existing neighborhood park. All recommended impro- and rehabilitation of an existing park facility. These are not eligible for SDC funding.		leemed to be minor up	grade		
1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	9. 0.00	_	No	_	
2		0.00	5,990	No	-	
3	•		3,330	No	-	
4	1 2 1 1		-	No	-	
5			1,797	No	-	
6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		21,566	No	_	
7			Delete	No	-	
8	Develop hard wall @ BBX court for tennis practice		Delete	No	-	
9			15,000	No	-	
10	***************************************		570	No	-	_
	Subtotal		44,923		-	
	A&E plus contingencies @ 25%		11,231		-	=
	Total Estimated Cost		56,154		·	
			SDC	Share	-	49
Fir S	Street Park (Proposed)					
	Note: This is a proposed neighborhood park between 1st & 5th Avenue north of school playgrounds and open space areas, including Regis/Little League ballfields playground. Staff recommends this proposed park acquisition be deleted from the City will acquire land in this neighborhood. Therefore, these costs have been delet	and the Stay Parks Maste	ton Elementary School r Plan, since is not like			
1	Land Acquisition	1.50	404,358	No	-	
	Subtotal		404,358		*	
	A&E plus contingencies @ 25%		101,089		-	
-	Total Estimated Cost	2120/240/160/160	505,447	***************************************	*	#
	Note: Northslope Park is an existing neighborhood park. The recommended imp rehabilitation of an existing park facility. Therefore, these are not eligible for SDC f	unding.				
1	·	0.00	-	No	-	
2			-	No	-	
3 4	. , .		4,792	No	-	
5			240 11,981	No No	-	
6			5,990	No	-	
7			Delete	No	_	
8	, , ,		2,396	No		
9			10,783	No	_	
_	Subtotal	·····	36,183		-	_
	A&E plus contingencies @ 25%		9,046		-	
	Total Estimated Cost		45,228	Auditoria Corporationia	-	===
				Share		4!
Nor	thslope Park (Proposed)					
	Note: Northslope Park is an existing neighborhood park. The listed improvemer expanded Northslope Park. These additional improvements are SDC eligible.					
1		1.00	20,368	Y	20,367.6	
2			10,783	Y	10,782.9	
3	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		7,189	Y	7,188.6	
4			Delete	Y	Delete	
5			50,000	Y	50,000.0	
6 7			11,981 11,981	Y Y	11,981.0 11,981.0	
8			1,797	Ϋ́	1,797.1	
9			11,981	Y	11,981.0	
10	, ,		11,301	Ϋ́	11,501.0	
1			- •	Ý	-	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		126,079	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	126,079.2	
	A&E plus contingencies @ 25%		31,520		31,519.8	
*******	Total Estimated Cost		157,599	O.L.	157,598.9	
				Share		
	Total - Mini-Parks	2.50	\$ 764,428	0223000	\$ 157,599	
			SDC	Share	\$ 77,543	
	Land acquisition proposed to serve existing residents	0.00				
	Land acquisition proposed to serve new growth	2.50				

Appendix 3
City of Stayton Parks Improvements
Detailed List of Recommended Capital Improvements

Linear Parks		Land 2012 \$ Acquisition Inflation		Inflation	SDC		% to
#	Description		(acres)	Estimated Cost	Elig?	SDC Eligible	Growth
Α	Stayton Ditch Park (includes Main Canal to Jet	ters Way)					
	1 Land Acquisition		14	436,107		*	
	Develop pathway and trail systems			47,924	No	-	
	3 Provide seating areas 4 Develop trailhead facilities			9,585		-	
	4 Develop trailhead facilities	Subtotal		179,715 673,331	No		
	A&F plus co	ntingencies @ 25%		168,333			
	//dc plus do	ningcholes @ 2070		841,663			
				· ·	Share		49.2%
В	Salem Ditch Park (RR tracks north to Mill Cree	k. 75' wide - 15 acres	s)				
	1 Land Acquisition	•	15	750.000	No	_	
	2 Develop pathway and trail systems			47,924	No	-	
	3 Provide seating areas			4,792	No	-	
	4 Develop trailhead facilities			179,715	No	-	
		Subtotal		982,431		-	
		ntingencies @ 25%		245,608			
	To	otal Estimated Cost		1,228,039			
				SDO	C Share		49.2%
С	Lucas Ditch Park (east of Sunrise Drive to Feri	Ridge Rd A acres)					
·	1 Land Acquisition	i mage man, 4 dorest	4		Υ	_	
	2 Develop pathway and trail systems		•	41,933		41,933	
	3 Provide seating areas			4,792		4,792	
	4 Develop trailhead facilities			179,715	Υ	179,715	
		Subtotal		226,440		226,440	
		ntingencies @ 25%		56,610		56,610	
	To	otal Estimated Cost		283,050		283,050	
				SDO	C Share	139,268	49.2%
D D	Santiam Highway ROW (east of Fern Ridge Rd. to Golf Lane to Mill Creek Pump Station (=4 acres)	o Old Mehama Rd., 50)' wide = 9 ac	re:			
	1 Land Acquisition		13	809.914	Y	809,914	
	2 Plant trees at entrance to create a symetri	cal entrance	10	431,315		431,315	
	3 Install additional children's play equipmen			71,886		71,886	
	the state of the s	Subtotal		1,313,114		1,313,114	
	A&E plus co	ntingencies @ 25%		328,279		328,279	
	T	otal Estimated Cost		1,641,393		1,641,393	
				SDC	C Share	807,606	49.2%
	т	otal - Linear Parks	46	\$ 3,994,145		\$ 1,924,443	
	t and a suitable of the		00.00	SDO	C Share	\$ 946,874	49.2%
	Land acquisition proposed to serv		29.00				
	Land acquisition proposed t	serve new growth	17.00				

Appendix 4
City of Stayton Parks Improvements
Detailed List of Recommended Capital Improvements

eig	hborho	ood Parks	Land Acquisition	2012 \$ Inflation	SDC Elig?	SDC Eligible	% to
		Description	(acres)	Estimated Cost			Growt
4	Quai	I Run Park (Existing)					
		Note: Quail Run Park is an existing neighborhood park. Most of the listed in maintenance/rehabilitation of the existing park serving current residents. The					
		community and therefore deemed SDC eligible.					
	1	Land Acquisition	0.00	-	No	-	
	2	Install flower planters where neighbors will plant and care for		4,792	No	-	
	3	Install volleyball courts		Delete	No	-	
	4	Plant rose garden		8,387	No	-	
	5	Develop plan for covered picnic areas		2,995	Υ	2,995	
	6	Develop horseshoe pits			No	-	
	7	Build and install one shelter building with utilities		35.943	Υ	35.943	
	8	Install electrical outlets near picnic areas		5,990	No	· -	
		Subtotal		58,108	***************************************	38,938	•
		A&E plus contingencies @ 25%		14,527		9,735	
		Total Estimated Cost		72,635		48,673	•
		Total Edition Cool		and the second of the second of	C Share	e in the contract of the contr	49.2
				to a non-money against a see	O Omaro		
	lda S	itreet Park (Proposed)					
		Note: The Master Parks Plan proposes a new neighborhood park on Ida St.	This propose	ad nark is intended to co	rract a		
		deficiency by providing a neighborhood park within walking distance of existing					
		benefit to future residents/growth. The park is SDC eligible for a small perce		acas, but was provide a s	31116113		
	1	Land Acquisition	7.00	578,681	No		
	2	Multi-use grass area with a bokstop and portable goal	7.00	35,943	No		
	3	Children's playground (tot lot and youth)		11,981	No	_	
	4	Muti-use paved court for basketball, volleyball, etc.		71,886	No	•	
	5	Picnic shelter building		29,952	No	=	
	6	Paved internal pathway system		53.914	No	-	
		Subtotal		782,357	INU		
		A&E plus contingencies @ 25%		195,589		-	
	-	Total Estimated Cost		977,947	**********		=
		Total Estimated Cost			C Share		49.2
;	Maitl	ing Property (Existing)		Constitution of	o Silale		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
•	146111	Neitling Park was listed separately in the 2004 SDC List. The site is combined	of with Piones	r Park Saa Community	Parke.		
		Pioneer Park	d with hones	i raik, dec dominionky	+ GIND"	_	
		i wilder i with					
)	Pine	Street Park (proposed)					
	1	Land Acquisition (needed for current residents)	2.00	76.678	No	· _	
	1	Land Acquisition (needed for future residents)	3.00	115,017	Y	115,017.3	
	2	Multi-use grass area with a backstop and portable goal	0.00	35.943	Ý	35,942.9	
	3	Children's playground (tot lot and youth)		11,981	Ϋ́	11.981.0	
	4	Muti-use payed court for basketball, volleyball, etc.		71,886	Ϋ́	71,885.8	
	5	Picnic shelter building		29,952	Ϋ́	29,952.4	
	6	Paved internal pathway system		53.914	Ϋ́	53,914.4	
	0	raveu internai patriway system		395,372	<u> </u>	318,693.8	-
		A O C in the continuous in a O O CO		98,843		79,673.5	=
	-	A&E plus contingencies @ 25%	***************************************			398,367.3	
	2220000	A&E plus contingencies @ 25% Total Estimated Cost		494,215 SC	C Share	196,007	49.2
		Total Estimated Cost	12.00	SC	C Share	196,007	49.2
			12.00	\$ 1,544,796		196,007 \$ 447,040	
		Total Estimated Cost Total - Neighborhood Parks		\$ 1,544,796	C Share	196,007 \$ 447,040	49.2 49.2
		Total Estimated Cost	12.00 9.00 3.00	\$ 1,544,796		196,007 \$ 447,040	

Appendix 5 City of Stayton Parks Improvements Detailed List of Recommended Capital Improvements

Open Space # Description		Land Acquired 2001 to 2013 (acres)	Land Acquisition (acres)	Fet	2012 \$ Inflation imated Cost	SDC Elig?	SDO	C Eligible	% to Growth	
A	Mill C	reek Greenway (Proposed)	(80103)	(40103)	LJE	mated 003t	ung.		J Liigibio	0.0
•••	1	Land Acquisition		16	\$	335,467	Υ	\$	335,467	
	2	Develop master plan		10	\$	-	Ý	\$	000,407	
		Subto	ital		\$	335.467		- \$	335,467	-
		A&E plus contingencies @ 2			\$	83,867		\$	83,867	
	***************************************	Total Estimated C			\$	419,334		\$	419,334	=
		Total Estimated G	551		-	•	Share		206,323	49,2%
						SDC	Oriale		200,323	43.270
В	Wilde	rness Park (Existing)								
_	1	Land Acquisition		0	\$	-	Υ	\$	_	
	2	Bridge to Riverfront Park		Ü	\$	160,000	Ý	\$	160,000	
	3	Trail			\$	10.000	Ý	\$	10,000	
	4	Log Benches				alled	Ý	-	alled	
		Subto	ital	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$	170.000		\$	170,000	-
		A&E plus contingencies @ 2			\$	42,500		\$	42,500	
		Total Estimated C		·····	S	212,500		\$	212,500	:
		Total Estimated O	JU.				Share	-	104,555	49.2%
	1 2	Land Acquisition Site Amenities Subto		40	\$ \$ \$	700,000 50,000 750,000	Y Y	\$	700,000 50,000 750,000	-
		A&E plus contingencies @ 2			\$	187,500		\$	187,500	=
		Total Estimated C	ost		\$	937,500		\$	937,500	
						SDC	Share		461,273	49.2%
_	ъ.	South Park								
D		front Park	F4	0	æ		v	•		
	1 2	Land acquisition	51	U	\$	47.004	Y	\$ \$	47.004	
	3	Management Plan Site Amenities/Park Development per Mgt Plan			\$ \$	47,924 250.000	Y Y	\$	47,924 250,000	
		Subto	401	~~~~~	 \$	297,924		\$	297,924	-
		A&E plus contingencies @ 2			\$	74,481		\$	74,481	
		Total Estimated C			Š	372,405	-	\$	372,405	•
		Total Estimated C	ost			372,405 SDC	Shara			49.2%
						- 14-44 M 4 3DC	Share		103,232	45.2 /6
		Total - Open Spa	ce 51.00	56.00	\$	1,941,739 SDC	Share		1,941,739 955,383	49.2%
		Land acquisition proposed to serve	existing residents	0.00						
		Land acquisition proposed to		56.00						

Appendix 6
Current and Future Park Land Needs

Areas	(1) Existing Parks Total Acres 2013	(2) Current Demand Total Acres 2013	(3) Existing Deficit 2013	(4) 20 Year Demand Total Acres 2034	(5) 20 Year Acres to Acquire 2034	(6) UGB Demand Total Acres 2047	(7) UGB Buildout Acres to Acquire 2047	Park Master Plan (8) Park Master Plan Acres to be Acquired to serve existing residents	(9) Park Master Plan
Parks and Areas									
Mini-Parks	3.29	2.23	surplus	3.52	0.23	4.39	1.10	0.00	2.50
Neighborhood Parks	4.29	13.37	9.08	21.14	16.85	26.32	22.03	9.00	3.00
Community Parks	17.11	26.51	9.40	41.92	24.81	52.19	35.08	9.00	34.50
Linear Parks	0.38	60.56	60.18	95.75	95.37	119.21	118.83	29.00	17.00
Open Space Areas	149.46	117.27	surplus	185,43	35.97	230.86	81.40	0.00	56.00
Totals	174.53	219.94	45.41	347.78	173.25	432.98	258.45	47.00	113.00
**************************************			Net acres needed		Net acres needed				