RESOLUTION NO. 635

A RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING THE METHODOLOGY AND RATES FOR A TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT CHARGE

WHEREAS, the Stayton City Council developed a transportation master plan dated July 1997, that contains a list of capital improvements needed to meet current and future transportation demands; and,

WHEREAS, ORS 223.297 provides a framework for imposing a transportation systems development charge; and,

WHEREAS, Section (5) of ORS 223.304 provides for a notification and a public hearing of the City of Stayton's intent to impose a transportation systems development charge; and,

WHEREAS, the Stayton City Council has determined that the methodology and rates hereinafter specified and established are just, reasonable and necessary; and,

WHEREAS, the Stayton City Council did prepare methodology and rates dated 15 October 1998 that was published and distributed to interested parties 45 days in advance of a public hearing held at a regular City Council meeting on 16 November 1998; and,

WHEREAS, Section 26 (4) of Chapter 459 of Oregon Laws 1991 requires that a governing body, when adopting a resolution imposing new rates, may include a provision classifying said rates as subject to or not subject to the limitations set in Section 11 (b), Article XI of the Oregon Constitution;

NOW THEREFORE:

BE IT RESOLVED that the transportation system development charges' rates and the methodology for transportation system development charges for the City of Stayton as set forth in Exhibit "A" attached and made a part of this Resolution be and hereby is adopted, establishing the transportation systems development charges and the methodology therefor, effective immediately.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Stayton City Council hereby classifies the

charges imposed herein as not being subject to the limitations imposed by Section 11 (b), Article XI of the Oregon Constitution and that the City Recorder is hereby directed to publish notice in accordance with Section 26 (8) of Chapter 459 of Oregon Laws 1991.

APPROVED BY THE STAYTON CITY COUNCIL this 7th day of December 1998.

Date: 12 + 98

STACEY A. GRAHAM, Council Presiden

ATTEST

Date: 12-9-59

THOMAS L. BARTHEL, City Administrator

Exhibit "A"

Transportation Systems Development Charge Stayton, Oregon

Raymond J. Bartlett Economic & Financial Analysis 1331 SW Broadway Portland, Oregon 97201

(503)228-3225

October 15, 1998

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS LIST | . 5 |
|-------------------------------|-----|
| TRIP GENERATION RATES AND SDC | . 8 |
| SDC IMPLEMENTATION | . 8 |
| CREDIT POLICY | . 9 |

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS LIST

Table 1 shows the list of capital improvements from the Stayton Transportation System Plan, prepared by Kittelson & Associates, July 1997. Table 1 lists each capital improvement by name, type of improvement, estimated cost, funding source, City's SDC share, who benefits. and the SDC eligible amount.

The first two columns are self explanatory. EFA updated the project costs from June 1996 to August 1998. The Transportation Plan used 1996 cost data.

The funding sources are categorized by whom likely will pay for them: the State of Oregon, local improvement districts (LID), Other sources (such as the County), and the City itself. Those projects to be funded by the City are further categorized by beneficiaries of the project: existing development or future development. For example, the sixth project on the list, intersection signalization on Wilco Road will cost about \$451,100 of which 100 percent will be the City's responsibility. Since the signal will serve existing traffic (55 percent) and future traffic (45 percent), only 45 percent of the cost is allocated to the SDC, \$202,995.

Two other City projects, payment improvements on Ida Street between Wilco Road and Evergreen, and between 1st Avenue and 7th Avenue benefit only existing development. None of these costs are allocated to the SDC. The cost allocations among the other SDCqualified projects are between 10 percent and 80 percent of total project costs. These cost allocations are based on consideration of and number of developed and vacant acres served by the roadway and on zoning and housing densities.

The SDC eligible project costs amounts to \$13,821,025 or about 25 percent of the total cost of all planned projects, \$55,342,100.

Table 1: Transportation Capital Improvements List and Cost Allocation

| SDC | erits | Who Ben | Zpste ZDC | CIM | Tees Other | ITID Door | gnibau Federal | ra State | s,\$ 866I | s ₁ \$ 9661 | Project Imprymt. | Project Name |
|--|---|--|--------------|----------|---------------|--------------|-------------------|---|------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| əldigilƏ | Amin : | Existing 1 | O TOUT C | 16 | <u> </u> | <u>l</u> | х | NUMBER OF STREET | 001,800 | 000'085 | Intersection Signalization | |
| 783,230 | %S7 | %SS | %00I | X | - | | | | 004,628 | 000'009 | Pavement Renat./Rehab. | st Ave Regis St. to Shaff Road |
| 000'57 | %00I | %0 %66 | 38% | х | х | | | | 008,602 | 200,000 | Pavement Renst./Rehab. | st Ave Santiam Bridge to Water St. |
| 200501 | 0/001 | CA A | 0/05 | <u> </u> | | | 1) 1) | Cmpl | 001'157 | 000'0€+ | noitezifengi8 noitoserstni | st Ave Washington St. to Regis |
| 000°5L | %S7 | %\$\$ | %00I | X | | | |) | 008,844 | 452,000 | Intersection Improvement | Vashington St. 1st Ave. |
| 566,202 | %S7 | %\$\$ | 100% | х | <u> </u> | | | | 451,100 | 430,000 | Intersection Signalization | |
| 0.55 | 0.4.61 | 0100 | | <u> </u> | | х | | | 002,882 | 270,000 | Pavement Renat./Rehab. | irginia St 1st Ave. to 7th Ave. |
| ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | - | | | ļ | <u> </u> | X | | | 283,200 | 270,000 | Pavement Renst./Rehab. | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | | | | _ | <u> </u> | Х | | | 183,600 | 175,000 | Pavement Renst./Rehab. | th Ave Salem Ditch to Washington St. |
| | | | ļ | <u> </u> | | X | | | 220,300 | 210,000 | Pavement Rcnst./Rehab. | th Ave Washington St. to Hollister St. |
| | ************** | | | <u> </u> | ļ | х | | | 00L'66 | 000'\$6 | Pavement Renat./Rehab. | |
| | | | | ļ | | Х | | | 45,000 | 000,01 | Pavement Renat./Rehab. | |
| | | | | | | х | | | 45,000 | 000'01 | Pavement Renat./Rehab. | irch Ave Ida St. to High St. |
| | | | | <u></u> | | Х | | | 45,000 | 000'01⁄ | Pavement Kenst./Rehab. | lder Ave Ida St. to High St. |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | Х | | | 00L'8L | 000'SZ | Pavement Renst./Rehab. | vergreen Ave Water St. to Ida St. |
| | *************************************** | ************************************** | | | <u> </u> | х | | | 141,600 | 135,000 | Pavement Renst./Rehab. | igh St Cherry Ave. to 1st Ave. |
| 526,70 1 | % 5 E | % S 9 | %00I | х | | | | *************************************** | 1165,500 | 000,111,1 | Pedestrian Bicycle Imprymt | Ashington St. |
| | %00I | %0 | %00I | X | | | | | | 000,088,6 | Street Widening | haff Road/Fern Ridge Road - Wilco Road to Hwy 22 |
| 055,250 | %0 <i>S</i> | %0 <i>5</i> | 100% | Х | | | | | 131,100 | 125,000 | Street Widening | |
| 51,930 | %0I | %06 | %00I | x | | | | | 905,912 | 000'567 | Pedestrian Bicycle Imprymt. | la St. |
| 0 | | | %0 | х | | | | | 000'867 | 000'04 | Pavement Imprvmt. | la St Wilco Road to Evergreen Ave. |
| 0 | | | %0 | X | | | | | 131,100 | 125,000 | Рачетепt Ітргуті. | la St 1st Ave. to 7th Ave. |
| | | | | | | х | | | 199,300 | 000'061 | Pavement Imprvmt. | farion St 1st Ave. to 3rd Ave. |
| | | | | | | х | | | 002,86 | 000'59 | Pavement Imprvmt. | ir St 1st Ave. to 3rd Ave. |
| | | | | | | x | | | 212,100 | 205,000 | Pavement Imprvmt. | nd Ave Water St. to Washington St. |
| 73,600 | %57 | %SL | %00I | Х | | | | | 007'76 | 000'06 | Pavement Imprvmt. | nd Ave Hollister St. to Cedar St. |
| 087'L6L | %08 | %07 | %00I | х | | | | | 009'966 | 000'056 | Pedestrian Bicycle Imprymt. | antiam St. |
| 508,599,2 | %S7 | %\$\$ | %00I | х | | | | | 008'6L8'S | 000'509'5 | Capacity Imprymt.s | Vilco Road - Golf Club Road widening to three lanes |
| 701,967 | %S7 | %SS | %00I | х | | | | | 008'SÞÞ | 452,000 | Intersection Signalization | st Ave./Ida St. |
| <i>0LL</i> '687 | % S 9 | %SE | %00I | х | | | | | 008'SÞÞ | 452,000 | Intersection Signalization | rd Ave./Washington St. |
| 701,967 | %S7 | %SS | %00I | х | | | | | 008 ' 5†† | 455,000 | Intersection Improvement | Vilco Road - Ida St./Washington St. |

| əldigilƏ | Existing Future | Share | 1998 \$'s's Federal LID Other City | s,\$ 9661 | Project Imprvmt. | Project Name |
|------------|-----------------|-------|------------------------------------|-----------|------------------|--------------|
| ZDC | Mho Benefits | 2DC | Funding Sources | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | %06 ⁻ 7 | om June 1996 through August 1998, the construction cost index increased | | | | | |
|------------|---|--|------|---|---|---|---|------------------------|---------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 13,821,025 | | | | | | | | 1001,242,100 | \$25,755,000 | sinoT | | | | | |
| | | | | | | х | | 006,021,71 | 16,320,000 | Additional Collector Roadways | Development Related Collector Roadways | | | | |
| 008'984 | %00I | %0 | %00I | х | | | | 008'984 | 000'05L | Local Street Extensions | Highland Drive - North of Pine St. to Fern Ridge Road | | | | |
| 230,800 | %00I | %0 | %00I | х | | | | 008,052 | 220,000 | Local Street Extensions | 15th Ave Jefferson St. to Santiam | | | | |
| 367,200 | %00I | %0 | %00I | х | | | | 002,765 | 320,000 | Local Street Extensions | 10th Ave Jefferson St. to Virginia St. | | | | |
| 136,400 | %00I | %0 | %00I | х | | | | 136,400 | 130,000 | Local Street Extensions | oth Ave Regis St. to Kathy St. | | | | |
| 112'400 | %00I | %0 | %00I | х | | | Ī | 112,400 | 000'011 | Local Street Extensions | Virginia St 9th Ave. to 10th Ave. | | | | |
| | | | | | х | | | 1,185,400 | 1,130,000 | Street Widening | Cascade Highway | | | | |
| | *************************************** | THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O | | | | х | | 00L'66 | 000,26 | Paving Unimproved Roadways | 5th Ave Robidous St. to Hollister St. | | | | |
| | · | | | | | х | | 004,211 | 110,000 | Paving Unimproved Roadways | King Ave Ida St. to South of Ida St. | | | | |
| | | | | | | х | | 00L'66 | 000'\$6 | Paving Unimproved Roadways | Water St West of Holly Ave. to East of Holly Ave. | | | | |
| | | | | | | х | | 00ሬ'66 | 000,26 | Paving Unimproved Roadways | Fern Ave Darby St. to Locust St. | | | | |
| | | | | | | Х | | 001,011 | 102,000 | Paving Unimproved Roadways | Darby St Fern Ave. to East of Fern Ave. | | | | |
| | | | | | | х | | 009'791 | 000,221 | Paving Unimproved Roadways | Water St Evergreen Ave. to West of Evergreen Ave. | | | | |
| | | | | | | х | | 00 <i>L</i> '9\$€ | 340,000 | Paving Unimproved Roadways | Jefferson St 15th Ave. to East of 15th Ave. | | | | |
| | | | | | | х | | 288,500 | 000°SL7 | Paving Unimproved Roadways | Robidoux St 6th Ave. to Hollister St. | | | | |
| | | | | | | х | | 424,900 | 000'507 | Paving Unimproved Roadways | High St Gardner Ave. to Evergreen Ave. | | | | |
| | | | | | | X | | 005,882 | 000'\$\(L \) | Paving Unimproved Roadways | Regis St 3rd Ave. to 6th Ave. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | x | 00 1 ,722,1 | 1,456,000 | Transit Service | Intercity Bus Service | | | | |
| | | | | | | | х | 009'608'1 | 1,725,000 | ransit Service Transit Service | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | х | 001'176 | 000'878 | Bus Service Transit Service | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | х | 1,022,800 | 000'\$46 | Transit Service | Added Paratransit Service | | | | |
| 752,605,2 | %S7 | %55 | %00I | х | | | | 00£,860,2 | 000'098'₺ | Off-Street Pathways | Pathways: Salem Ditch/N. Santiam River/Stayton Ditch | | | | |
| 220,995 | %St | %\$\$ | %00I | x | | | | 008'L8 1 | 000'59 1 | Roadway Widening & Extnsn. | Jefferson St 10th Ave. to Santiam St. | | | | |
| | | | | | | х | | 004'46 | 000'06 | Pavement Imprvmt. | 6th Ave Marion St. to Washington St. | | | | |
| | | | | | | X | | 002'89 | 000,29 | Pavement Imprvmt. | Water St 1st Ave. to 3rd Ave. | | | | |
| | | |] | | | х | | 007'97 | 000'SZ | Pavement Imprvmt. | Fern Ave Ida St. to High St. | | | | |
| | | | | | | x | | 007,68 | 000,28 | Pavement Imprvmt. | Myrtle Ave Ida St. to Washington St. | | | | |
| | | | | | | х | | 00L'LS | 000,22 | Pavement Imprvmt. | Maple St West of Evergreen Ave. to Evergreen Ave. | | | | |
| | | | | | | х | | 104,900 | 100,000 | Pavement Imprvmt. | Elwood St 3rd Ave. to 6th Ave. | | | | |
| | | | | | | X | | 00L'8L | 000'SL | Pavement Imprvmt. | Cedar St West Scenic Drive to East Scenic Drive | | | | |
| | | | | | | х | | 005,128,1 | 1,765,000 | Street Widening | 10th Ave Santiam St. to Fern Ridge Road | | | | |
| | | | | | | х | | 000'667 | 285,000 | Intersection Signalization | lst Ave./Locust St. | | | | |
| 7 '090 | %08 | %07 | 100% | х | | | | 304,200 | 000 | Intersection Signalization | st Av. Vlister St. | | | | |

TRIP GENERATION RATES AND SDC

Table 2 shows the amount of ϵ ding and future traffic volumes in the ϵ . The traffic counts are based on the afternoon (between 5 p.m. and 6 p.m.) peak hour of traffic (the number of PM Peak-Hour Trips).

Table 2: PM Peak-Hour Trips in 1996 and 2017

| | | | | New |
|--|-------|--------|-------|----------|
| | 1996 | 2017 | Trips | % Change |
| Trips within Stayton | 1,274 | 3,547 | 2,273 | 178% |
| Trip origins or destinations outside Stayton | 2,387 | 3,293 | 906 | 38% |
| Trips passing through Stayton | 1,964 | 3,852 | 1,888 | 96% |
| Total Trips | 5,625 | 10,692 | 5,067 | 90% |
| | | | | |

The number of peak-hour trips was used in the Transportation Plan to determine the number, size, and location of roadway improvements shown in Table 1. The number of PM Peak-Hour Trips will increase by 5,067 between 1997 and 2017. EFA uses this figure to calculate the SDC per PM Peak Hour Trip in Table 3.

SDC IMPLEMENTATION

Table 3 shows the total SDC eligible project costs divided by the increase in new trips. This cost represents the cost a new development that adds one new peak hour trip to the roadways. To apply this methodology, the City will have to determine how many PM peak hour trips a particular development will add to the roadways. As its primary guide, the City will use the *Trip Generation Manual* published by ITE to determine the number of trips.

Table 3: Calculation of SDC per PM Peak-Hour Trip

| Ettato. | |
|---|--------------|
| SDC Qualified Public Improvements, 1998's | \$13,821,025 |
| Total New PM Peak-Hour Trips | 5,067 |
| SDC/PM Peak-Hour Trip | \$2,728 |
| | |

For a single family house the average number of PM peak-hour trips is about 1.01, therefore the SDC is \$2,755 (\$2,728/PM peak-hour trip x 1.01 PM peak hour trip). The SDC for a service station that produces 20 PM peak-hour trips would be \$54,560 (\$2,728/PM peak hour trip x 20 PM peak hour trip).

CREDIT POLICY

The Oregon SDC law requires the City to grant a credit against the transportation SDC if a particular development makes improvements to the roadway system and if the improvement meets three conditions:

First, the improvement _st be on the list of capital improveme. (Table 1) and identified as an SDC eligible project. If a project proposed for credit by a developer is not on the list then the project does NOT qualify for a credit. The City Council may amend the list of capital improvements by resolution.

Second, the city must require the public improvement to be built as a condition of development approval. That is, the city must specifically state to the developer (preferably in writing) that unless the developer builds the improvement, the city will deny the proposed development permits to build.

Third, the public improvement (or portions of it) must either be off-site of the proposed development, or on-site but with excess capacity. For example, a 4-lane arterial that cuts through the middle of the proposed development would be designed to carry the trips generated by it plus future developments that may occur later. That portion that serves future, off-site developments can be credited against the SDC.

Also, the law states, "When the construction of a qualified public improvement gives rise to a credit amount greater than the improvement fee . . . , the excess credit may be applied against improvement fees that accrue in subsequent phases of the original development." The City will allow this transfer of excess credit amounts.

The law goes on to allow for the transfer of credits to other developments and to give credit for projects not on the list of capital improvements. The City will not allow the transfer either of the credits among different developments or for projects not on the list of capital improvements.